

IWASAWA THEORY AND  $F$ -ANALYTIC  
LUBIN-TATE  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -MODULES

LAURENT BERGER AND LIONEL FOURQUAUX

Received: December 20, 2016

Revised: June 6, 2017

Communicated by Otmar Venjakob

ABSTRACT. Let  $K$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . We use the theory of  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules in the Lubin-Tate setting to construct some corestriction-compatible families of classes in the cohomology of  $V$ , for certain representations  $V$  of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}/K)$ . If in addition  $V$  is crystalline, we describe these classes explicitly using Bloch-Kato's exponential maps. This allows us to generalize Perrin-Riou's period map to the Lubin-Tate setting.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11F; 11S; 14G

Keywords and Phrases:  $p$ -adic representation;  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module; Lubin-Tate group; overconvergent representation;  $p$ -adic Hodge theory; analytic cohomology; normalized traces; Bloch-Kato exponential; Iwasawa theory; Kummer theory

CONTENTS

1	LUBIN-TATE $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -MODULES	1003
1.1	Notation . . . . .	1003
1.2	Construction of Lubin-Tate $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules . . . . .	1004
1.3	Overconvergent Lubin-Tate $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules . . . . .	1005
1.4	Extensions of $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules . . . . .	1006
2	ANALYTIC COHOMOLOGY AND IWASAWA THEORY	1007
2.1	Analytic cohomology . . . . .	1007
2.2	Cohomology of $F$ -analytic $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules . . . . .	1009
2.3	The space $D/(\psi_q - 1)$ . . . . .	1010
2.4	The operator $\Theta_b$ . . . . .	1012
2.5	Construction of extensions . . . . .	1015

3	EXPLICIT FORMULAS FOR CRYSTALLINE REPRESENTATIONS	1019
3.1	Crystalline $F$ -analytic representations	1019
3.2	Bloch-Kato's exponentials for analytic representations	1020
3.3	Interpolating exponentials and their duals	1021
3.4	Kummer theory and the representation $F(\chi_\pi)$	1023
3.5	Perrin-Riou's big exponential map	1025

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $K$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  and let  $G_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}/K)$ . In this article, we use the theory of  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules in the Lubin-Tate setting to construct some classes in  $H^1(K, V)$ , for “ $F$ -analytic” representations  $V$  of  $G_K$ . If in addition  $V$  is crystalline, we describe these classes explicitly using Bloch and Kato's exponential maps and generalize Perrin-Riou's period map to the Lubin-Tate setting.

We now describe our constructions in more detail, and introduce some notation which is used throughout this paper. Let  $F$  be a finite Galois extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ , with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_F$  and maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_F$ , let  $\pi$  be a uniformizer of  $\mathcal{O}_F$  and let  $k_F = \mathcal{O}_F/\pi$  and  $q = \text{Card}(k_F)$ . Let  $\text{LT}$  be the Lubin-Tate formal group [LT65] attached to  $\pi$ . We fix a coordinate  $T$  on  $\text{LT}$ , so that for each  $a \in \mathcal{O}_F$  the multiplication-by- $a$  map is given by a power series  $[a](T) = aT + O(T^2) \in \mathcal{O}_F[[T]]$ . Let  $\log_{\text{LT}}(T)$  denote the attached logarithm and  $\exp_{\text{LT}}(T)$  its inverse for the composition. Let  $\chi_\pi : G_F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^\times$  be the attached Lubin-Tate character. If  $K$  is a finite extension of  $F$ , let  $K_n = K(\text{LT}[\pi^n])$  and  $K_\infty = \cup_{n \geq 1} K_n$  and  $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{A}_F$  denote the set of power series  $\sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} a_i T^i$  with  $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_F$  such that  $a_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow -\infty$  and let  $\mathbf{B}_F = \mathbf{A}_F[1/\pi]$ , which is a field. It is endowed with a Frobenius map  $\varphi_q : f(T) \mapsto f([\pi](T))$  and an action of  $\Gamma_F$  given by  $g : f(T) \mapsto f([\chi_\pi(g)](T))$ . If  $K$  is a finite extension of  $F$ , the theory of the field of norms ([FW79a, FW79b] and [Win83]) provides us with a finite unramified extension  $\mathbf{B}_K$  of  $\mathbf{B}_F$ . Recall [Fon90] that a  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathbf{B}_K$  is a finite dimensional  $\mathbf{B}_K$ -vector space endowed with a compatible Frobenius map  $\varphi_q$  and action of  $\Gamma_K$ . We say that a  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathbf{B}_K$  is étale if it has a basis in which  $\text{Mat}(\varphi_q) \in \text{GL}_d(\mathbf{A}_K)$ . The relevance of these objects is explained by the result below (see [Fon90], [KR09]).

**THEOREM.** *There is an equivalence of categories between the category of  $F$ -linear representations of  $G_K$  and the category of étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules over  $\mathbf{B}_K$ .*

Let  $\mathbf{B}_F^\dagger$  denote the set of power series  $f(T) \in \mathbf{B}_F$  that have a non-empty domain of convergence. The theory of the field of norms again provides us [Mat95] with a finite extension  $\mathbf{B}_K^\dagger$  of  $\mathbf{B}_F^\dagger$ . We say that a  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathbf{B}_K$  is overconvergent if it has a basis in which  $\text{Mat}(\varphi_q) \in \text{GL}_d(\mathbf{B}_K^\dagger)$  and  $\text{Mat}(g) \in \text{GL}_d(\mathbf{B}_K^\dagger)$  for all  $g \in \Gamma_K$ . If  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ , every étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathbf{B}_K$  is overconvergent [CC98]. If  $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$ , this is no longer the case [FX13].

Let us say that an  $F$ -linear representation  $V$  of  $G_K$  is  $F$ -analytic if for all embeddings  $\tau : F \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ , with  $\tau \neq \text{Id}$ , the representation  $\mathbf{C}_p \otimes_F^\tau V$  is trivial (as a semilinear  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -representation of  $G_K$ ). The following result is known [Ber16].

**THEOREM.** *If  $V$  is an  $F$ -analytic representation of  $G_K$ , it is overconvergent.*

Another source of overconvergent representations of  $G_K$  is the set of representations that factor through  $\Gamma_K$  (see §1.3). Our first result is the following (theorem 1.3.1).

**THEOREM A.** *If  $V$  is an overconvergent representation of  $G_K$ , there exists an  $F$ -analytic representation  $X_{\text{an}}$  of  $G_K$ , a representation  $Y_\Gamma$  of  $G_K$  that factors through  $\Gamma_K$ , and a surjective  $G_K$ -equivariant map  $X_{\text{an}} \otimes_F Y_\Gamma \rightarrow V$ .*

We next focus on  $F$ -analytic representations. Let  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger$  denote the Robba ring, which is the ring of power series  $f(T) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} a_i T^i$  with  $a_i \in F$  such that there exists  $\rho < 1$  such that  $f(T)$  converges for  $\rho < |T| < 1$ . We have  $\mathbf{B}_F^\dagger \subset \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger$ . The theory of the field of norms again provides us with a finite extension  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger$  of  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger$ . If  $V$  is an  $F$ -linear representation of  $G_K$ , let  $D(V)$  denote the  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathbf{B}_K$  attached to  $V$ . If  $V$  is overconvergent, there is a well defined  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module  $D^\dagger(V)$  over  $\mathbf{B}_K^\dagger$  attached to  $V$ , such that  $D(V) = \mathbf{B}_K \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^\dagger} D^\dagger(V)$ . We call  $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)$  the  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger$  attached to  $V$ , given by  $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V) = \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^\dagger} D^\dagger(V)$ .

The ring  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger$  is a free  $\varphi_q(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger)$ -module of degree  $q$ . This allows us to define [FX13] a map  $\psi_q : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger$  that is a  $\Gamma_K$ -equivariant left inverse of  $\varphi_q$ , and likewise, if  $V$  is an overconvergent representation of  $G_K$ , a map  $\psi_q : D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V) \rightarrow D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)$  that is a  $\Gamma_K$ -equivariant left inverse of  $\varphi_q$ . The main result of this article is the construction, for an  $F$ -analytic representation  $V$  of  $G_K$ , of a collection of maps

$$h_{K_n,V}^1 : D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=1} \rightarrow H^1(K_n, V),$$

having a certain number of properties. For example, these maps are compatible with corestriction:  $\text{cor}_{K_{n+1}/K_n} \circ h_{K_{n+1},V}^1 = h_{K_n,V}^1$  if  $n \geq 1$ . Another property is that if  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\pi = p$  (the cyclotomic case), these maps coincide with those constructed in [CC99] (and generalized in [Ber03]).

If now  $K = F$  and  $V$  is a crystalline  $F$ -analytic representation of  $G_F$ , we give explicit formulas for  $h_{F_n,V}^1$  using Bloch and Kato's exponential maps [BK90]. Let  $V$  be as above, let  $D_{\text{cris}}(V) = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{cris},F} \otimes_F V)^{G_F}$  (note that because the  $\otimes$  is over  $F$ , this is the identity component of the usual  $D_{\text{cris}}$ ) and let  $t_\pi = \log_{\text{LT}}(T)$ . Let  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a compatible sequence of primitive  $\pi^n$ -torsion points of  $\text{LT}$ . Let  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$  denote the positive part of the Robba ring, namely the ring of power series  $f(T) = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i T^i$  with  $a_i \in F$  such that  $f(T)$  converges for  $0 \leq |T| < 1$ . If  $n \geq 0$ , we have a map  $\varphi_q^{-n} : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \rightarrow F_n[[t_\pi]]$  given by  $f(T) \mapsto f(u_n \oplus \exp_{\text{LT}}(t_\pi/\pi^n))$ . Using the results of [KR09], we prove that

there is a natural  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -equivariant inclusion  $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=1} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+[1/t_\pi] \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)$ . This provides us, by composition, with maps  $\varphi_q^{-n} : D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=1} \rightarrow F_n((t_\pi)) \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)$  and  $\partial_V \circ \varphi_q^{-n} : D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=1} \rightarrow F_n \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)$  where  $\partial_V$  is the ‘‘coefficient of  $t_\pi^0$ ’’ map. Recall finally that we have two maps, Bloch and Kato’s exponential  $\exp_{F_n, V} : F_n \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V) \rightarrow H^1(F_n, V)$  and its dual  $\exp_{F_n, V^*(1)}^* : H^1(F_n, V) \rightarrow F_n \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)$  (the subscript  $V^*(1)$  denotes the dual of  $V$  twisted by the cyclotomic character, but is merely a notation here). The first result is as follows (theorem 3.3.1).

**THEOREM B.** *If  $V$  is as above and  $y \in D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=1}$ , then*

$$\exp_{F_n, V^*(1)}^*(h_{F_n, V}^1(y)) = \begin{cases} q^{-n} \partial_V(\varphi_q^{-n}(y)) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ (1 - q^{-1} \varphi_q^{-1}) \partial_V(y) & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\nabla = t_\pi \cdot d/dt_\pi$ , let  $\nabla_i = \nabla - i$  if  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$  and let  $h \geq 1$  be such that  $\text{Fil}^{-h} D_{\text{cris}}(V) = D_{\text{cris}}(V)$ . We prove that if  $y \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi_q=1}$ , then  $\nabla_{h-1} \circ \dots \circ \nabla_0(y) \in D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=1}$ , and we have the following result (theorem 3.3.2).

**THEOREM C.** *If  $V$  is as above and  $y \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi_q=1}$ , then*

$$h_{F_n, V}^1(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \dots \circ \nabla_0(y)) = (-1)^{h-1} (h-1)! \begin{cases} \exp_{F_n, V}(q^{-n} \partial_V(\varphi_q^{-n}(y))) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ \exp_{F_n, V}((1 - q^{-1} \varphi_q^{-1}) \partial_V(y)) & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Using theorems B and C, we give in §3.5 a Lubin-Tate analogue of Perrin-Riou’s ‘‘big exponential map’’ [PR94] using the same method as that of [Ber03] which treats the cyclotomic case. It will be interesting to compare this big exponential map with the ‘‘big logarithms’’ constructed in [Fou05] and [Fou08]. It is also instructive to specialize theorem C to the case  $V = F(\chi_\pi)$ , which corresponds to ‘‘Lubin-Tate’’ Kummer theory. Recall that if  $L$  is a finite extension of  $F$ , Kummer theory gives us a map  $\delta : \text{LT}(\mathfrak{m}_L) \rightarrow H^1(L, F(\chi_\pi))$ . When  $L$  varies among the  $F_n$ , these maps are compatible: the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{LT}(\mathfrak{m}_{F_{n+1}}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(F_{n+1}, V) \\ \text{Tr}_{F_{n+1}/F_n}^{\text{LT}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{cor}_{F_{n+1}/F_n} \\ \text{LT}(\mathfrak{m}_{F_n}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(F_n, V) \end{array}$$

commutes. Let  $S$  denote the set of sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  with  $x_n \in \mathfrak{m}_{F_n}$  and such that  $\text{Tr}_{F_{n+1}/F_n}^{\text{LT}}(x_{n+1}) = [q/\pi](x_n)$  for  $n \geq 1$ . We prove that  $S$  is big, in the sense that (if  $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$ ) the projection on the  $n$ -th coordinate map  $S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} F \rightarrow F_n$  is onto (this would not be the case if we did not have the factor  $q/\pi$  in the definition of  $S$ ). Furthermore, we prove that if  $x \in S$ , there exists

a power series  $f(T) \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+)^{\psi_q=1/\pi}$  such that  $f(u_n) = \log_{\text{LT}}(x_n)$  for  $n \geq 1$ . We have  $d/dt_\pi(f(T)) \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+)^{\psi_q=1}$  and the following holds (theorem 3.4.5), where  $u$  is the basis of  $F(\chi_\pi)$  corresponding to the choice of  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ .

**THEOREM D.** *We have  $h_{F_n, F(\chi_\pi)}^1(d/dt_\pi(f(T)) \cdot u) = (q/\pi)^{-n} \cdot \delta(x_n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

In the cyclotomic case, there is [Col79] a power series  $\text{Col}_x(T)$  such that  $\text{Col}_x(u_n) = x_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . We then have  $f(T) = \log \text{Col}_x(T)$ , and theorem D is proved in [CC99]. In the general Lubin-Tate case, we do not know whether there is a ‘‘Coleman power series’’ of which  $f(T)$  would be the  $\log_{\text{LT}}$ . This seems like a non-trivial question.

It would be interesting to compare our results with those of [SV17]. The authors of [SV17] also construct some classes in  $H^1(K, V)$ , but start from the space  $D(V(\chi_\pi \cdot \chi_{\text{cyc}}^{-1}))^{\psi_q=\pi/q}$ . In another direction, is it possible to extend our constructions to representations of the form  $V \otimes_F Y_\Gamma$  with  $V$   $F$ -analytic and  $Y_\Gamma$  factoring through  $\Gamma_K$ , and in particular recover the explicit reciprocity law of [Tsu04]?

## 1 LUBIN-TATE $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -MODULES

In this chapter, we recall the theory of Lubin-Tate  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules and classify overconvergent representations.

### 1.1 NOTATION

Let  $F$  be a finite Galois extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , and residue field  $k_F$ . Let  $\pi$  be a uniformizer of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ . Let  $d = [F : \mathbf{Q}_p]$  and  $e$  be the ramification index of  $F/\mathbf{Q}_p$ . Let  $q = p^f$  be the cardinality of  $k_F$  and let  $F_0 = W(k_F)[1/p]$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  inside  $F$ . Let  $\sigma$  denote the absolute Frobenius map on  $F_0$ .

Let  $\text{LT}$  be the Lubin-Tate formal  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -module attached to  $\pi$  and choose a coordinate  $T$  for the formal group law, such that the action of  $\pi$  on  $\text{LT}$  is given by  $[\pi](T) = T^q + \pi T$ . If  $a \in \mathcal{O}_F$ , let  $[a](T)$  denote the power series that gives the action of  $a$  on  $\text{LT}$ . Let  $\log_{\text{LT}}(T)$  denote the attached logarithm and  $\exp_{\text{LT}}(T)$  its inverse. If  $K$  is a finite extension of  $F$ , let  $K_n = K(\text{LT}[\pi^n])$  and let  $K_\infty = \cup_{n \geq 1} K_n$ . Let  $H_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}/K_\infty)$  and  $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$ . By Lubin-Tate theory (see [LT65]),  $\Gamma_K$  is isomorphic to an open subgroup of  $\mathcal{O}_F^\times$  via the Lubin-Tate character  $\chi_\pi : \Gamma_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ .

Let  $n(K) \geq 1$  be such that if  $n \geq n(K)$ , then  $\chi_\pi : \Gamma_{K_n} \rightarrow 1 + \pi^n \mathcal{O}_F$  is an isomorphism, and  $\log_p : 1 + \pi^n \mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow \pi^n \mathcal{O}_F$  is also an isomorphism.

Since  $\log_{\text{LT}}(T)$  converges on the open unit disk, it can be seen as an element of  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+$  and we denote it by  $t_\pi$ . Recall that  $g(t_\pi) = \chi_\pi(g) \cdot t_\pi$  if  $g \in G_K$  and that  $\varphi_q(t_\pi) = \pi \cdot t_\pi$ . Let  $\partial = d/dt_\pi$  so that  $\partial f(T) = a(T) \cdot df(T)/dT$ , where  $a(T) = (d \log_{\text{LT}}(T)/dT)^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_F[[T]]^\times$ . We have  $\partial \circ g = \chi_\pi(g) \cdot g \circ \partial$  if  $g \in \Gamma_K$  and  $\partial \circ \varphi_q = \pi \cdot \varphi_q \circ \partial$ .

Recall that  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger$  denotes the Robba ring, the ring of power series  $f(T) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} a_i T^i$  with  $a_i \in F$  such that there exists  $\rho < 1$  such that  $f(T)$  converges for  $\rho < |T| < 1$ . We have  $\mathbf{B}_F^\dagger \subset \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger$  and by writing a power series as the sum of its plus part and its minus part, we get  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger = \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ + \mathbf{B}_F^\dagger$ .

Each ring  $R \in \{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger, \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+, \mathbf{B}_F^\dagger, \mathbf{B}_F\}$  is equipped with a Frobenius map  $\varphi_q : f(T) \mapsto f([\pi](T))$  and an action of  $\Gamma_F$  given by  $g : f(T) \mapsto f([\chi_\pi(g)](T))$ . Moreover, the ring  $R$  is a free  $\varphi_q(R)$ -module of rank  $q$ , and we define  $\psi_q : R \rightarrow R$  by the formula  $\varphi_q(\psi_q(f)) = 1/q \cdot \text{Tr}_{R/\varphi_q(R)}(f)$ . The map  $\psi_q$  has the following properties (see for instance §2A of [FX13] and §1.2.3 of [Col16]):  $\psi_q(x \cdot \varphi_q(y)) = \psi_q(x) \cdot y$ , the map  $\psi_q$  commutes with the action of  $\Gamma_F$ ,  $\partial \circ \psi_q = \pi^{-1} \cdot \psi_q \circ \partial$  and if  $f(T) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$  then  $\varphi_q \circ \psi_q(f) = 1/q \cdot \sum_{z \in \text{LT}[\pi]} f(T \oplus z)$ . If  $M$  is a free  $R$ -module with a semilinear Frobenius map  $\varphi_q$  such that  $\text{Mat}(\varphi_q)$  is invertible, then any  $m \in M$  can be written as  $m = \sum_i r_i \cdot \varphi_q(m_i)$  with  $r_i \in R$  and  $m_i \in M$  and the map  $\psi_q : m \mapsto \sum_i \psi_q(r_i) \cdot m_i$  is then well-defined. This applies in particular to the rings  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger, \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^+, \mathbf{B}_K^\dagger, \mathbf{B}_K$  and to the  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules over them.

### 1.2 CONSTRUCTION OF LUBIN-TATE $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -MODULES

A  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathbf{B}_K$  (or over  $\mathbf{B}_K^\dagger$  or over  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger$ ) is a finite dimensional  $\mathbf{B}_K$ -vector space  $D$  (or a finite dimensional  $\mathbf{B}_K^\dagger$ -vector space or a free  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger$ -module of finite rank respectively), along with a semilinear Frobenius map  $\varphi_q$  whose matrix (in some basis) is invertible, and a continuous, semilinear action of  $\Gamma_K$  that commutes with  $\varphi_q$ .

We say that a  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module  $D$  over  $\mathbf{B}_K$  is étale if  $D$  has a basis in which  $\text{Mat}(\varphi_q) \in \text{GL}_d(\mathbf{A}_K)$ . Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of  $\cup_{M/F} \mathbf{B}_M$  where  $M$  runs through the finite extensions of  $F$ . By specializing the constructions of [Fon90], Kisin and Ren prove the following theorem (theorem 1.6 of [KR09]).

**THEOREM 1.2.1.** *The functors  $V \mapsto D(V) = (\mathbf{B} \otimes_F V)^{H_K}$  and  $D \mapsto (\mathbf{B} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K} D)^{\varphi_q=1}$  give rise to mutually inverse equivalences of categories between the category of  $F$ -linear representations of  $G_K$  and the category of étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules over  $\mathbf{B}_K$ .*

We say that a  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module  $D$  is overconvergent if there exists a basis of  $D$  in which the matrices of  $\varphi_q$  and of all  $g \in \Gamma_K$  have entries in  $\mathbf{B}_K^\dagger$ . This basis then generates a  $\mathbf{B}_K^\dagger$ -vector space  $D^\dagger$  which is canonically attached to  $D$ . If  $V$  is a  $p$ -adic representation, we say that it is overconvergent if  $D(V)$  is overconvergent, and then  $D^\dagger(V)$  denotes the corresponding  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathbf{B}_K^\dagger$ . The main result of [CC98] states that if  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ , then every étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathbf{B}_K$  is overconvergent (the proof is given for  $\pi = p$ , but it is easy to see that it works for any uniformizer). If  $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$ , some simple examples (see [FX13]) show that this is no longer the case.

Recall that an  $F$ -linear representation of  $G_K$  is  $F$ -analytic if  $\mathbf{C}_p \otimes_F^\tau V$  is the trivial  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -semilinear representation of  $G_K$  for all embeddings  $\tau \neq \text{Id} \in \text{Gal}(F/\mathbf{Q}_p)$ .

This definition is the natural generalization of Kisin and Ren’s notion of  $F$ -crystalline representation. Kisin and Ren then show that if  $K \subset F_\infty$ , and if  $V$  is a crystalline  $F$ -analytic representation of  $G_K$ , the  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module attached to  $V$  is overconvergent (see §3.3 of [KR09]; they actually prove a stronger result, namely that the  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module attached to such a  $V$  is of finite height).

If  $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger$  is a  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$ , and if  $g \in \Gamma_K$  is close enough to 1, then by standard arguments (see §2.1 of [KR09] or §1C of [FX13]), the series  $\log(g) = \log(1 + (g - 1))$  gives rise to a differential operator  $\nabla_g : D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger \rightarrow D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger$ . The map  $v \mapsto \exp(v)$  is defined on a neighborhood of 0 in  $\text{Lie } \Gamma_K$ ; the map  $\text{Lie } \Gamma_K \rightarrow \text{End}(D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger)$  arising from  $v \mapsto \nabla_{\exp(v)}$  is  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -linear, and we say that  $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger$  is  $F$ -analytic if this map is  $F$ -linear (see §2.1 of [KR09] and §1.3 of [FX13]). If  $V$  is an overconvergent representation of  $G_K$ , we let  $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V) = \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_K^\dagger} D^\dagger(V)$ . The following is theorem D of [Ber16].

**THEOREM 1.2.2.** *The functor  $V \mapsto D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)$  gives rise to an equivalence of categories between the category of  $F$ -analytic representations of  $G_K$  and the category of étale  $F$ -analytic Lubin-Tate  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules over  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$ .*

In general, representations of  $G_K$  that are not  $F$ -analytic are not overconvergent (see §1.3), and the analogue of theorem 1.2.2 without the  $F$ -analyticity condition on both sides does not hold.

### 1.3 OVERCONVERGENT LUBIN-TATE $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -MODULES

By theorem 1.2.2, there is an equivalence of categories between the category of  $F$ -analytic representations of  $G_K$  and the category of étale  $F$ -analytic Lubin-Tate  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules over  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$ . The purpose of this section is to prove a conjecture of Colmez that describes *all* overconvergent representations of  $G_K$ . Any representation  $V$  of  $G_K$  that factors through  $\Gamma_K$  is overconvergent, since  $H_K$  acts trivially on  $V$  so that  $D(V) = \mathbf{B}_K \otimes_F V$  and therefore  $D(V)$  has a basis in which  $\text{Mat}(\varphi_q) = \text{Id}$  and  $\text{Mat}(g) \in \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}_F)$  if  $g \in \Gamma_K$ . If  $X$  is  $F$ -analytic and  $Y$  factors through  $\Gamma_K$ ,  $X \otimes_F Y$  is therefore overconvergent. We prove that any overconvergent representation of  $G_K$  is a quotient (and therefore also a subobject, by dualizing) of some representation of the form  $X \otimes_F Y$  as above.

**THEOREM 1.3.1.** *If  $V$  is an overconvergent representation of  $G_K$ , there exists an  $F$ -analytic representation  $X$  of  $G_K$ , a representation  $Y$  of  $G_K$  that factors through  $\Gamma_K$ , and a surjective  $G_K$ -equivariant map  $X \otimes_F Y \rightarrow V$ .*

*Proof.* Recall (see §3 of [Ber16]) that if  $r > 0$ , then inside  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$  we have the subring  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, r}$  of elements defined on a fixed annulus whose inner radius depends on  $r$  and whose outer radius is 1, and that  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules over  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$  can be defined over  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, r}$  if  $r$  is large enough, giving us a module  $D_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger, r}(V)$ . We also have rings  $\mathbf{B}_K^{[r; s]}$  of elements defined on a closed annulus whose radii depend on  $r \leq s$ . One can think of an element of  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^{\dagger, r}$  as a compatible family

of elements of  $\{\mathbf{B}_K^I\}_I$  where  $I$  runs over a set of closed intervals whose union is  $[r; +\infty[$ . In the rest of the proof, we use this principle of glueing objects defined on closed annuli to get an object on the annulus corresponding to  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,r}$ .

Choose  $r > 0$  large enough such that  $D_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r}(V)$  is defined, and  $s \geq qr$ . Let  $D^{[r;s]}(V) = \mathbf{B}_K^{[r;s]} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger,r}} D_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r}(V)$ . If  $a \in \mathcal{O}_F$ , and if  $\text{val}_p(a) \geq n$  for  $n = n(r, s)$  large enough, the series  $\exp(a \cdot \nabla)$  converges in the operator norm to an operator on the Banach space  $D^{[r;s]}(V)$ . This way, we can define a twisted action of  $\Gamma_{K_n}$  on  $D^{[r;s]}(V)$ , by the formula  $h \star x = \exp(\log_p(\chi_\pi(h)) \cdot \nabla)(x)$ . This action is now  $F$ -analytic by construction.

Since  $s \geq qr$ , the modules  $D^{[q^m r; q^m s]}(V)$  for  $m \geq 0$  are glued together (using the idea explained above) by  $\varphi_q$  and we get a new action of  $\Gamma_{K_n}$  on  $D_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger,r}(V) = D^{[r;+\infty[}(V)$  and hence on  $D_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V)$ . Since  $\varphi_q$  is unchanged, this new  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module is étale, and therefore corresponds to a representation  $W$  of  $G_{K_n}$ . The representation  $W$  is  $F$ -analytic by theorem 1.2.2, and its restriction to  $H_K$  is isomorphic to  $V$ .

Let  $X = \text{ind}_{G_{K_n}}^{G_K} W$ . By Mackey's formula,  $X|_{H_K}$  contains  $W|_{H_K} \simeq V|_{H_K}$  as a direct summand. The space  $Y = \text{Hom}(\text{ind}_{G_{K_n}}^{G_K} W, V)^{H_K}$  is therefore a nonzero representation of  $\Gamma_K$ , and there is an element  $y \in Y$  whose image is  $V$ . The natural map  $X \otimes_F Y \rightarrow V$  is therefore surjective. Finally,  $X$  is  $F$ -analytic since  $W$  is  $F$ -analytic. □

By dualizing, we get the following variant of theorem 1.3.1.

**COROLLARY 1.3.2.** *If  $V$  is an overconvergent representation of  $G_K$ , there exists an  $F$ -analytic representation  $X$  of  $G_K$ , a representation  $Y$  of  $G_K$  that factors through  $\Gamma_K$ , and an injective  $G_K$ -equivariant map  $V \rightarrow X \otimes_F Y$ .*

#### 1.4 EXTENSIONS OF $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -MODULES

In this section, we prove that there are no non-trivial extensions between an  $F$ -analytic  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module and the twist of an  $F$ -analytic  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module by a character that is not  $F$ -analytic. This is not used in the rest of the paper, but is of independent interest.

If  $\delta: \Gamma_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^\times$  is a continuous character, and  $g \in \Gamma_K$ , let  $w_\delta(g) = \log \delta(g) / \log \chi_\pi(g)$ . Note that  $\delta$  is  $F$ -analytic if and only if  $w_\delta(g)$  is independent of  $g \in \Gamma_K$ .

We define the first cohomology group  $H^1(D)$  of a  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module  $D$  as in §4 of [FX13]. Let  $D$  be a  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^{\dagger}$ . Let  $G$  denote the semigroup  $\varphi_q^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \times \Gamma_K$  and let  $Z^1(D)$  denote the set of continuous functions  $f: G \rightarrow D$  such that  $(h-1)f(g) = (g-1)f(h)$  for all  $g, h \in G$ . Let  $B^1(D)$  be the subset of  $Z^1(D)$  consisting of functions of the form  $g \mapsto (g-1)y$ ,  $y \in D$  and let  $H^1(D) = Z^1(D)/B^1(D)$ . If  $g \in G$  and  $f \in Z^1$ , then  $[h \mapsto (g-1)f(h)] = [h \mapsto (h-1)f(g)] \in B^1$ . The natural actions of  $\Gamma_K$  and  $\varphi_q$  on  $H^1$  are therefore trivial.



If  $D_0$  and  $D_1$  are two  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules, then  $\text{Hom}(D_1, D_0) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger\text{-mod}}(D_1, D_0)$  is a free  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$ -module of rank  $\text{rk}(D_0)\text{rk}(D_1)$  which is easily seen to be itself a  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module. The space  $H^1(\text{Hom}(D_1, D_0))$  classifies the extensions of  $D_1$  by  $D_0$ . More precisely, if  $D$  is such an extension and if  $s: D_1 \rightarrow D$  is a  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$ -linear map that is a section of the projection  $D \rightarrow D_1$ , then  $g \mapsto s - g(s)$  is a cocycle on  $G$  with values in  $\text{Hom}(D_1, D_0)$  (the element  $g(s) \in \text{Hom}(D_1, D)$  being defined by  $g(s)(g(x)) = g(s(x))$  for all  $g \in G$  and all  $x \in D_1$ ). The class of this cocycle in the quotient  $H^1(\text{Hom}(D_1, D_0))$  does not depend on the choice of the section  $s$ , and every such class defines a unique extension of  $D_1$  by  $D_0$  up to isomorphism.

**THEOREM 1.4.1.** *If  $D$  is an  $F$ -analytic  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module, and if  $\delta: \Gamma_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^\times$  is not locally  $F$ -analytic, then  $H^1(D(\delta)) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $g \in \Gamma_K$  and  $x(\delta) \in D(\delta)$  with  $x \in D$ , we have

$$\nabla_g(x(\delta)) = \nabla(x)(\delta) + w_\delta(g) \cdot x(\delta).$$

If  $g, h \in \Gamma_K$ , this implies that  $\nabla_g(x(\delta)) - \nabla_h(x(\delta)) = (w_\delta(g) - w_\delta(h)) \cdot x(\delta)$ . If  $\bar{f} \in H^1(D(\delta))$  and  $g \in \Gamma_K$ , then  $g(\bar{f}) = \bar{f}$  and therefore  $\nabla_g(\bar{f}) = 0$ . The formula above shows that if  $k \in \Gamma_K$ , then  $\nabla_g(f(k)) - \nabla_h(f(k)) = (w_\delta(g) - w_\delta(h)) \cdot f(k)$ , so that  $0 = (\nabla_g - \nabla_h)(\bar{f}) = (w_\delta(g) - w_\delta(h)) \cdot \bar{f}$ , and therefore  $\bar{f} = 0$  if  $\delta$  is not locally analytic. □

## 2 ANALYTIC COHOMOLOGY AND IWASAWA THEORY

In this chapter, we explain how to construct classes in the cohomology groups of  $F$ -analytic  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules. This allows us to define our maps  $h_{K_n, V}^1$ .

### 2.1 ANALYTIC COHOMOLOGY

Let  $G$  be an  $F$ -analytic semigroup and let  $M$  be a Fréchet or LF space with a pro- $F$ -analytic (§2 of [Ber16]) action of  $G$ . Recall that this means that we can write  $M = \varinjlim_i \varprojlim_j M_{ij}$  where  $M_{ij}$  is a Banach space with a locally analytic action of  $G$ . A function  $f: G \rightarrow M$  is said to be pro- $F$ -analytic if its image lies in  $\varprojlim_j M_{ij}$  for some  $i$  and if the corresponding function  $f: G \rightarrow M_{ij}$  is locally  $F$ -analytic for all  $j$ .

The analytic cohomology groups  $H_{\text{an}}^i(G, M)$  are defined and studied in §4 of [FX13] and §5 of [Col16]. In particular, we have  $H_{\text{an}}^0(G, M) = M^G$  and  $H_{\text{an}}^1(G, M) = Z_{\text{an}}^1(G, M)/B_{\text{an}}^1(G, M)$  where  $Z_{\text{an}}^1(G, M)$  is the set of pro- $F$ -analytic functions  $f: G \rightarrow M$  such that  $(g - 1)f(h) = (h - 1)f(g)$  for all  $g, h \in G$  and  $B_{\text{an}}^1(G, M)$  is the set of functions of the form  $g \mapsto (g - 1)m$ .

Let  $M$  be a Fréchet space, and write  $M = \varprojlim_n M_n$  with  $M_n$  a Banach space such that the image of  $M_{n+j}$  in  $M_n$  is dense for all  $j \geq 0$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.1.1.** *We have  $H_{\text{an}}^1(G, M) = \varprojlim_n H_{\text{an}}^1(G, M_n)$ .*

*Proof.* By definition, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B_{\text{an}}^1(G, M_n) \rightarrow Z_{\text{an}}^1(G, M_n) \rightarrow H_{\text{an}}^1(G, M_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

It is clear that  $B_{\text{an}}^1(G, M) = \varprojlim_n B_{\text{an}}^1(G, M_n)$  and that  $Z_{\text{an}}^1(G, M) = \varprojlim_n Z_{\text{an}}^1(G, M_n)$ , since these spaces are spaces of functions on  $G$  satisfying certain compatible conditions. The Banach spaces  $B_{\text{an}}^1(G, M_n)$  satisfy the Mittag-Leffler condition:  $B_{\text{an}}^1(G, M_n) = M_n/M_n^G$  and the image of  $M_{n+j}$  in  $M_n$  is dense for all  $j \geq 0$ . This implies that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim_n B_{\text{an}}^1(G, M_n) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n Z_{\text{an}}^1(G, M_n) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H_{\text{an}}^1(G, M_n) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, and the proposition follows. □

In this paper, we mainly use the semigroups  $\Gamma_K, \Gamma_K \times \Phi$  where  $\Phi = \{\varphi_q^n, n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  and  $\Gamma_K \times \Psi$  where  $\Psi = \{\psi_q^n, n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ . The semigroups  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are discrete and the  $F$ -analytic structure comes from the one on  $\Gamma_K$ .

DEFINITION 2.1.2. Let  $G$  be a compact group and let  $H$  be an open subgroup of  $G$ . We have the *corestriction* map  $\text{cor} : H_{\text{an}}^1(H, M) \rightarrow H_{\text{an}}^1(G, M)$ , which satisfies  $\text{cor} \circ \text{res} = [G : H]$ . This map has the following equivalent explicit descriptions (see §2.5 of [Ser94] and §II.2 of [CC99]). Let  $X \subset G$  be a set of representatives of  $G/H$  and let  $f \in Z_{\text{an}}^1(H, M)$  be a cocycle.

1. By Shapiro’s lemma,  $H_{\text{an}}^1(H, M) = H_{\text{an}}^1(G, \text{ind}_H^G M)$  and  $\text{cor}$  is the map induced by  $i \mapsto \sum_{x \in X} x \cdot i(x^{-1})$ ;
2. if  $M \subset N$  where  $N$  is a  $G$ -module and if there exists  $n \in N$  such that  $f(h) = (h - 1)(n)$ , then  $\text{cor}(f)(g) = (g - 1)(\sum_{x \in X} xn)$ ;
3. if  $g \in G$ , let  $\tau_g : X \rightarrow X$  be the permutation defined by  $\tau_g(x)H = gxH$ . We have  $\text{cor}(f)(g) = \sum_{x \in X} \tau_g(x) \cdot f(\tau_g(x)^{-1}gx)$ .

If  $g \in \Gamma_K$ , let  $\ell(g) = \log_p \chi_\pi(g)$ . If  $M$  is a Fréchet space with a pro- $F$ -analytic action of  $\Gamma_K$  and if  $g \in \Gamma_K$  is such that  $\chi_\pi(g) \in 1 + 2p\mathcal{O}_F$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g^{p^n} - 1)/(p^n \ell(g))$  converges to an operator  $\nabla$  on  $M$ , which is independent of  $g$  thanks to the  $F$ -analyticity assumption. If  $c : \Gamma_K \rightarrow M$  is an  $F$ -analytic map, let  $c'(1)$  denote its derivative at the identity.

PROPOSITION 2.1.3. *If  $M$  is a Fréchet space with a pro- $F$ -analytic action of  $\Gamma_K$ , the map  $c \mapsto c'(1)$  induces an isomorphism  $H_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K, M) = (M/\nabla M)^{\Gamma_K}$ , under which  $\text{cor}_{L/K}$  corresponds to  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume for the time being that  $M$  is a Banach space. We first show that the map induced by  $c \mapsto c'(1)$  is well-defined and lands in  $(M/\nabla M)^{\Gamma_K}$ . The map  $c \mapsto c'(1)$  from  $Z_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K, M) \rightarrow M$  is well-defined, and if  $c(g) = (g - 1)m$ , then  $c'(1) = \nabla m$  so that there is a well-defined map  $H_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K, M) \rightarrow M/\nabla M$ . If

$h \in \Gamma_K$  then  $(h - 1)c'(1) = \lim_{g \rightarrow 1} (h - 1)c(g)/\ell(g) = \lim_{g \rightarrow 1} (g - 1)c(h)/\ell(g) = \nabla c(h)$  so that the image of  $c \mapsto c'(1)$  lies in  $(M/\nabla M)^{\Gamma_K}$ . The formula for the corestriction follows from the explicit descriptions above: if  $h \in \Gamma_L$  then  $\tau_h(x) = x$  so that  $\text{cor}(c)(h) = \sum_{x \in X} x \cdot c(h)$  and

$$\text{cor}(c)'(1) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 1} \text{cor}(c)(h)/\ell(h) = \sum_{x \in X} x \cdot c'(1) = \text{Tr}_{L/K}(c'(1)).$$

We now show that the map is injective. If  $c'(1) = \nabla m$ , then the derivative of  $g \mapsto c(g) - (g - 1)m$  at  $g = 1$  is zero and hence  $c(g) = (g - 1)m$  on some open subgroup  $\Gamma_L$  of  $\Gamma_K$  and  $c = [L : K]^{-1} \text{cor}_{L/K} \circ \text{res}_{K/L}(c) = 0$ .

We finally show that the map is surjective. Suppose now that  $y \in (M/\nabla M)^{\Gamma_K}$ . The formula  $g \mapsto (\exp(\ell(g)\nabla) - 1)/\nabla \cdot y$  defines an analytic cocycle  $c_L$  on some open subgroup  $\Gamma_L$  of  $\Gamma_K$ . The image of  $[L : K]^{-1}c_L$  under  $\text{cor}_{L/K}$  gives a cocycle  $c \in H_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K, M)$  such that  $c'(1) = y$ .

We now let  $M = \varprojlim_n M_n$  be a Fréchet space. The map  $H_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K, M) \rightarrow (M/\nabla M)^{\Gamma_K}$  induced by  $c \mapsto c'(1)$  is well-defined, and in the other direction we have the map  $y \mapsto c_y$ :

$$(M/\nabla M)^{\Gamma_K} \rightarrow \varprojlim_n (M_n/\nabla M_n)^{\Gamma_K} \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K, M_n) \rightarrow H_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K, M).$$

These two maps are inverses of each other. □

*Remark 2.1.4.* Compare with the following theorem (see [Tam15], corollary 21): if  $G$  is a compact  $p$ -adic Lie group and if  $M$  is a locally analytic representation of  $G$ , then  $H_{\text{an}}^i(G, M) = H^i(\text{Lie}(G), M)^G$ .

### 2.2 COHOMOLOGY OF $F$ -ANALYTIC $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -MODULES

If  $V$  is an  $F$ -analytic representation, let  $H_{\text{an}}^1(K, V) \subset H^1(K, V)$  classify the  $F$ -analytic extensions of  $F$  by  $V$ . Let  $D$  denote an  $F$ -analytic  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$ , such as  $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.2.1.** *If  $V$  is  $F$ -analytic, then  $H_{\text{an}}^1(K, V) = H_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K \times \Phi, D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V))$ .*

*Proof.* The group  $H_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K \times \Phi, D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V))$  classifies the  $F$ -analytic extensions of  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$  by  $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)$ , which correspond to  $F$ -analytic extensions of  $F$  by  $V$  by theorem 1.2.2. □

**THEOREM 2.2.2.** *If  $D$  is an  $F$ -analytic  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$  and  $i = 0, 1$ , then  $H_{\text{an}}^i(\Gamma_K, D^{\psi_q=0}) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^\dagger \subset \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$ , the  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$ -module  $D$  is a free  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^\dagger$ -module of finite rank. Let  $\mathcal{R}_F$  denote  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^\dagger$  and let  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$  denote  $\mathbf{C}_p \widehat{\otimes}_F \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^\dagger$  the Robba

ring with coefficients in  $\mathbf{C}_p$ . There is an action of  $G_F$  on the coefficients of  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{C}_p}^{G_F} = \mathcal{R}_F$ .

Theorem 5.5 of [Col16] says that  $H_{\text{an}}^i(\Gamma_K, (\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{C}_p} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_F} \mathbf{D})^{\psi_q=0}) = 0$ . For  $i = 0$ , this implies our claim. For  $i = 1$ , it says that if  $c : \Gamma_K \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^{\psi_q=0}$  is an  $F$ -analytic cocycle, there exists  $m \in (\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{C}_p} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_F} \mathbf{D})^{\psi_q=0}$  such that  $c(g) = (g - 1)m$  for all  $g \in \Gamma_K$ . If  $\alpha \in G_F$ , then  $c(g) = (g - 1)\alpha(m)$  as well, so that  $\alpha(m) - m \in ((\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{C}_p} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_F} \mathbf{D})^{\psi_q=0})^{\Gamma_K} = 0$ . This shows that  $m \in ((\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{C}_p} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_F} \mathbf{D})^{\psi_q=0})^{G_F} = \mathbf{D}^{\psi_q=0}$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.2.3.** *The groups  $H_{\text{an}}^i(\Gamma_K \times \Phi, \mathbf{D})$  and  $H_{\text{an}}^i(\Gamma_K \times \Psi, \mathbf{D})$  are isomorphic for  $i = 0, 1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $i = 0$ , then we have an inclusion  $\mathbf{D}^{\varphi_q=1, \Gamma_K} \subset \mathbf{D}^{\psi_q=1, \Gamma_K}$ . If  $x \in \mathbf{D}^{\psi_q=1, \Gamma_K}$ , then  $x - \varphi_q(x) \in \mathbf{D}^{\psi_q=0, \Gamma_K} = \{0\}$  by theorem 2.2.2, so that  $x = \varphi_q(x)$  and the above inclusion is an equality.

Now let  $i = 1$ . If  $f \in Z_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K \times \Phi, \mathbf{D})$ , let  $Tf \in Z_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K \times \Psi, \mathbf{D})$  be the function defined by  $Tf(g) = f(g)$  if  $g \in \Gamma_K$  and  $Tf(\psi_q) = -\psi_q(f(\varphi_q))$ .

If  $f \in Z_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K \times \Psi, \mathbf{D})$  and  $g \in \Gamma_K$ , then  $(\varphi_q \psi_q - 1)f(g) \in \mathbf{D}^{\psi_q=0}$  and the map  $g \mapsto (\varphi_q \psi_q - 1)f(g)$  is an element of  $Z_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K, \mathbf{D}^{\psi_q=0})$ . By theorem 2.2.2, applied once for existence and once for unicity, there is a unique  $m_f \in \mathbf{D}^{\psi_q=0}$  such that  $(\varphi_q \psi_q - 1)f(g) = (g - 1)m_f$ . Let  $Uf \in Z_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K \times \Phi, \mathbf{D})$  be the function defined by  $Uf(g) = f(g)$  if  $g \in \Gamma_K$  and  $Uf(\varphi_q) = -\varphi_q(f(\psi_q)) + m_f$ .

It is straightforward to check that  $U$  and  $T$  are inverses of each other (even at the level of the  $Z_{\text{an}}^1$ ) and that they descend to the  $H_{\text{an}}^1$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.2.4.** *The map  $f \mapsto f(\psi_q)$  from  $Z_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K \times \Psi, \mathbf{D})$  to  $\mathbf{D}$  gives rise to an exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K, \mathbf{D}^{\psi_q=1}) \rightarrow H_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K \times \Psi, \mathbf{D}) \rightarrow \left( \frac{\mathbf{D}}{\psi_q - 1} \right)^{\Gamma_K}$$

*Proof.* If  $f \in Z_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K \times \Psi, \mathbf{D})$  and  $g \in \Gamma_K$ , then  $(g - 1)f(\psi_q) = (\psi_q - 1)f(g) \in (\psi_q - 1)\mathbf{D}$  so that the image of  $f$  is in  $(\mathbf{D}/(\psi_q - 1))^{\Gamma_K}$ . The other verifications are similar.  $\square$

### 2.3 THE SPACE $\mathbf{D}/(\psi_q - 1)$

By theorem 2.2.4 in the previous section, the cokernel of the map  $H_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K, \mathbf{D}^{\psi_q=1}) \rightarrow H_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K \times \Psi, \mathbf{D})$  injects into  $(\mathbf{D}/(\psi_q - 1))^{\Gamma_K}$ . It can be useful to know that this cokernel is not too large. In this section, we bound  $\mathbf{D}/(\psi_q - 1)$  when  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^\dagger$ , with the action of  $\varphi_q$  twisted by  $a^{-1}$ , for some  $a \in F^\times$ .

**THEOREM 2.3.1.** *If  $a \in F^\times$ , then  $\psi_q - a : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^\dagger$  is onto unless  $a = q^{-1}\pi^m$  for some  $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , in which case  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^\dagger/(\psi_q - a)$  is of dimension 1.*

In order to prove this theorem, we need some results about the action of  $\psi_q$  on  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger$ . Recall that the map  $\partial = d/dt_\pi$  was defined in §1.1.

LEMMA 2.3.2. *If  $a \in F^\times$ , then  $a\varphi_q - 1 : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$  is an isomorphism, unless  $a = \pi^{-m}$  for some  $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , in which case*

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(a\varphi_q - 1 : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+) &= Ft_\pi^m \\ \text{im}(a\varphi_q - 1 : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+) &= \{f(T) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \mid \partial^m(f)(0) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This is lemma 5.1 of [FX13]. □

LEMMA 2.3.3. *If  $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , there is an  $h(T) \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi_q=0}$  such that  $\partial^m(h)(0) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $\psi_q(T) = 0$  by (the proof of) proposition 2.2 of [FX13]. If there was some  $m_0$  such that  $\partial^m(T)(0) = 0$  for all  $m \geq m_0$ , then  $T$  would be a polynomial in  $t_\pi$ , which it is not. This implies that there is a sequence  $\{m_i\}_i$  of integers with  $m_i \rightarrow +\infty$ , such that  $\partial^{m_i}(T)(0) \neq 0$ , and we can take  $h(T) = \partial^{m_i-m}(T)$  for any  $m_i \geq m$ . □

COROLLARY 2.3.4. *If  $a \in F^\times$ , then  $\psi_q - a : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$  is onto.*

*Proof.* If  $f(T) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$  and if we can write  $f = (1 - a\varphi_q)g$ , then  $f = (\psi_q - a)(\varphi_q(g))$ . If this is not possible, then by lemma 2.3.2 there exists  $m \geq 0$  such that  $a = \pi^{-m}$  and  $\partial^m(f)(0) \neq 0$ . Let  $h$  be the function provided by lemma 2.3.3. The function  $f - (\partial^m(f)(0)/\partial^m(h)(0)) \cdot h$  is in the image of  $1 - a\varphi_q$  by lemma 2.3.2, and  $h = (\psi_q - a)(-a^{-1}h)$  since  $\psi_q(h) = 0$ . This implies that  $f$  is in the image of  $\psi_q - a$ . □

LEMMA 2.3.5. *If  $a^{-1} \in q \cdot \mathcal{O}_F$ , then  $\psi_q - a : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger$  is onto.*

*Proof.* We have  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger = \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ + \mathbf{B}_F^\dagger$  (by writing a power series as the sum of its plus part and of its minus part) and by corollary 2.3.4,  $\psi_q - a : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$  is onto. Take  $f(T) \in \mathbf{B}_F^\dagger$ , choose some  $r > 0$  and let  $\mathbf{B}_F^{(0,r]}$  be the set of  $f(T) \in \mathbf{B}_F^\dagger$  that converge and are bounded on the annulus  $0 < \text{val}_p(x) \leq r$ . It follows from proposition 1.4 of [Col16] that if  $n \gg 0$ , then  $\psi_q^n(f) \in \mathbf{B}_F^{(0,r]}$  and by proposition 2.4(d) of [FX13], the sequence  $(q/\pi \cdot \psi_q)^n(f)$  is bounded in  $\mathbf{B}_F^{(0,r]}$ . The series  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a^{-1-n} \psi_q^n(f)$  therefore converges in  $\mathbf{B}_F^{(0,r]}$ , and we can write  $f = (\psi_q - a)g$  where  $g = a^{-1}(1 - a^{-1}\psi_q)^{-1}f = \sum_{n \geq 0} a^{-1-n} \psi_q^n(f)$ . □

Let  $\text{Res} : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger \rightarrow F$  be defined by  $\text{Res}(f) = a_{-1}$  where  $f(T)dt_\pi = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} a_n T^n dT$ . The following lemma combines propositions 2.12 and 2.13 of [FX13].

LEMMA 2.3.6. *The sequence  $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} F \rightarrow 0$  is exact, and  $\text{Res}(\psi_q(f)) = \pi/q \cdot \text{Res}(f)$ .*

*Proof of theorem 2.3.1.* Since  $\partial \circ \psi_q = \pi^{-1}\psi_q \circ \partial$ , the map  $\partial$  induces a map:

$$\frac{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger}{\psi_q - a} \xrightarrow{\partial} \frac{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger}{\psi_q - a\pi}. \tag{Der}$$

Take  $x \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger$  such that  $\text{Res}(x) = 1$ . We have  $\text{Res}((\psi_q - a\pi)x) = \pi/q - a\pi$ . If  $a \neq q^{-1}$ , this is non-zero and if  $f \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger$ , proposition 2.3.6 allows us to write  $f = \partial g + \text{Res}(f)/(\pi/q - a\pi) \cdot (\psi_q - a\pi)x$ . This implies that (Der) is onto if  $a \neq q^{-1}$ .

Combined with lemma 2.3.5, this implies that  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger/(\psi_q - a) = 0$  if  $a$  is not of the form  $q^{-1}\pi^m$  for some  $m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ .

When  $a = q^{-1}$ , we have an exact sequence

$$\frac{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger}{\psi_q - q^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\partial} \frac{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger}{\psi_q - q^{-1}\pi} \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} F \rightarrow 0,$$

which now implies that  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger/(\psi_q - q^{-1}\pi) = F$ , generated by the class of  $x$ .

We now assume again that  $a \neq q^{-1}$  and compute the kernel of (Der). If  $f \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger$  is such that  $\partial f = (\psi_q - a\pi)g$ , then  $\text{Res } \partial f = \text{Res}(\psi_q - a\pi)g = (\pi/q - a\pi) \text{Res}(g)$ , so that  $\text{Res}(g) = 0$  and we can write  $g = \partial h$ . We have  $\partial(f - (\psi_q - a)h) = 0$ , so that  $f = (\psi_q - a)h + c$ , with  $c \in F$ . By corollary 2.3.4, there exists  $b \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger$  such that  $(\psi_q - a)(b) = c$ , so that  $f = (\psi_q - a)(h + b)$  and (Der) is bijective. We then have, by induction on  $m \geq 1$ , that  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger/(\psi_q - q^{-1}\pi^m) = F$ , generated by the class of  $\partial^m(x)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.3.7.* More generally, we expect that the following holds: if  $D$  is a  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},K}^\dagger$ , the  $F$ -vector space  $D/(\psi_q - 1)$  is finite dimensional.

#### 2.4 THE OPERATOR $\Theta_b$

The power series  $F(X) = X/(\exp(X) - 1)$  belongs to  $\mathbf{Q}_p[[X]]$  and has a nonzero radius of convergence. If  $M$  is a Banach space with a locally  $F$ -analytic action of  $\Gamma_K$  and  $h \in \Gamma_K$  is close enough to 1, then

$$\frac{\nabla}{h - 1} = \frac{\nabla}{\exp(\ell(h)\nabla) - 1} = \ell(h)^{-1}F(\ell(h)\nabla)$$

converges to a continuous operator on  $M$ . If  $g \in \Gamma_K$ , we then define

$$\frac{\nabla}{1 - g} = \frac{\nabla}{1 - g^n} \cdot \frac{1 - g^n}{1 - g}.$$

This operator is independent of the choice of  $n$  such that  $g^n$  is close enough to 1, and can be seen as an element of the locally  $F$ -analytic distribution algebra acting on  $M$ .

If  $M$  is a Fréchet space, write  $M = \varprojlim_i M_i$  and define operators  $\frac{\nabla}{1-g}$  on each  $M_i$  as above. These operators commute with the maps  $M_j \rightarrow M_i$  (because  $n$  can be taken large enough for both  $M_i$  and  $M_j$ ). This defines an operator  $\frac{\nabla}{1-g}$  on  $M$  itself. The definition of  $\frac{\nabla}{1-g}$  extends to an LF space with a pro- $F$ -analytic action of  $\Gamma_K$ .

Assume that  $K$  contains  $F_1$  and let  $r(K) = f + \text{val}_p([K : F_1])$ . For example,  $p^{r(F_n)} = q^n$  if  $n \geq 1$ . Assume further that  $K$  contains  $F_{n(K)}$ , so that  $\chi_\pi : \Gamma_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^\times$  is injective and its image is a free  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -module of rank  $d$ . If  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_d)$  is a basis of  $\Gamma_K$  (that is,  $\Gamma_K = b_1^{\mathbf{Z}_p} \cdots b_d^{\mathbf{Z}_p}$ ), then let  $\ell^*(b) = \ell(b_1) \cdots \ell(b_d)/p^{r(K)}$  and

$$\Theta_b = \ell^*(b) \cdot \frac{\nabla^d}{(b_1 - 1) \cdots (b_d - 1)}.$$

LEMMA 2.4.1. *If  $K = F_n$  and  $m \geq 0$  and  $x \in F_{m+n}$ , then*

$$\Theta_b(x) = q^{-m-n} \cdot \text{Tr}_{F_{m+n}/F_n}(x).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\nabla = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (b^{p^k} - 1)/p^k \ell(b)$ , we have

$$\Theta_b = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q^n p^{kd}} \cdot \frac{(b_1^{p^k} - 1) \cdots (b_d^{p^k} - 1)}{(b_1 - 1) \cdots (b_d - 1)}.$$

The set  $\{b_1^{a_1} \cdots b_d^{a_d}\}$  with  $0 \leq a_i \leq p^k - 1$  runs through a set of representatives of  $\Gamma_n/\Gamma_n^{p^k} = \Gamma_n/\Gamma_{n+ek}$  so that

$$\frac{1}{q^n p^{kd}} \cdot \frac{(b_1^{p^k} - 1) \cdots (b_d^{p^k} - 1)}{(b_1 - 1) \cdots (b_d - 1)} = \frac{1}{q^n p^{kd}} \text{Tr}_{F_{n+ek}/F_n} = \frac{1}{q^{n+ek}} \cdot \text{Tr}_{F_{n+ek}/F_n}.$$

The lemma follows from taking  $k$  large enough so that  $ek \geq m$ . □

For  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ , let  $\nabla_i = \nabla - i$ .

LEMMA 2.4.2. *If  $b$  is a basis of  $\Gamma_{F_n}$  and if  $f(T) \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+)^{\psi_q=0}$ , then  $\Theta_b(f(T)) \in (t_\pi/\varphi_q^n(T)) \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+$ , and if  $h \geq 2$  then  $\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_1 \circ \Theta_b(f(T)) \in (t_\pi/\varphi_q^n(T))^h \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+$ .*

*Proof.* If  $m \geq 1$ , then by lemma 2.4.1 and using repeatedly the fact (see §1.1) that  $\varphi_q \circ \psi_q(f) = 1/q \cdot \sum_{z \in \text{LT}[\pi]} f(T \oplus z)$ ,

$$\Theta_b(f(u_{n+m})) = 1/q^{m+n} \cdot \text{Tr}_{F_{m+n}/F_n} f(u_{m+n}) = \psi_q^m(f)(u_n) = 0.$$

This proves the first claim, since an element  $f(T) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+$  is divisible by  $t_\pi/\varphi_q^n(T)$  if and only if  $f(u_{n+m}) = 0$  for all  $m \geq 1$ . The second claim follows easily. □

Let  $D$  be a  $\varphi_q$ -module over  $F$ . Let  $\varphi_q^{-n} : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+[1/t_\pi] \otimes_F D \rightarrow F_n((t_\pi)) \otimes_F D$  be the map

$$\varphi_q^{-n} : t_\pi^{-h} f(T) \otimes x \mapsto \pi^{nh} t_\pi^{-h} f(u_n \oplus \exp_{\text{LT}}(t_\pi/\pi^n)) \otimes \varphi_q^{-n}(x).$$

If  $f(t_\pi) \in F_n((t_\pi)) \otimes_F D$ , let  $\partial_D(f) \in F_n \otimes_F D$  denote the coefficient of  $t_\pi^0$ .

LEMMA 2.4.3. *If  $y \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+[1/t_\pi] \otimes_F D)^{\psi_q=1}$  and if  $m \geq n$ , then*

$$q^{-m} \text{Tr}_{F_m/F_n} \partial_D(\varphi_q^{-m}(y)) = \begin{cases} q^{-n} \partial_D(\varphi_q^{-n}(y)) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ (1 - q^{-1} \varphi_q^{-1}) \partial_D(y) & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If  $y = t_\pi^{-\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k T^k \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+[1/t_\pi] \otimes_F D$ , then (by definition of  $\varphi_q^{-m}$ )

$$\varphi_q^{-m}(y) = \pi^{m\ell} t_\pi^{-\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \varphi_q^{-m}(a_k) (u_m \oplus \exp_{\text{LT}}(t_\pi/\pi^m))^k,$$

and  $\psi_q(y) = y$  means that:

$$\varphi_q(y)(T) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{[\pi](\omega)=0} y(T \oplus \omega).$$

If  $m \geq 2$ , the conjugates of  $u_m$  under  $\text{Gal}(F_m/F_{m-1})$  are the  $\{\omega \oplus u_m\}_{[\pi](\omega)=0}$  so that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}_{F_m/F_{m-1}} \partial_D(\varphi_q^{-m}(y)) \\ &= \partial_D \left( \sum_{[\pi](\omega)=0} \pi^{m\ell} t_\pi^{-\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \varphi_q^{-m}(a_k) (\omega \oplus u_m \oplus \exp_{\text{LT}}(t_\pi/\pi^m))^k \right) \\ &= \partial_D \left( \varphi_q^{-m} \left( \sum_{[\pi](\omega)=0} y(T \oplus \omega) \right) \right) \\ &= q \partial_D(\varphi_q^{-(m-1)}(y)). \end{aligned}$$

For  $m = 1$ , the computation is similar, except that the conjugates of  $u_1$  under  $\text{Gal}(F_1/F)$  are the  $\omega$ , where  $[\pi](\omega) = 0$  but  $\omega \neq 0$ , which results in:

$$\text{Tr}_{F_1/F} \partial_D(\varphi_q^{-1}(y)) = \partial_D \left( \varphi_q^{-1} \left( \sum_{\substack{[\pi](\omega)=0 \\ \omega \neq 0}} y(T \oplus \omega) \right) \right) = \partial_D(qy - \varphi_q^{-1}(y)).$$

□



2.5 CONSTRUCTION OF EXTENSIONS

Let  $D$  be an  $F$ -analytic  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, K}^\dagger$ . The space  $D^{\psi_q=1}$  is a closed subspace of  $D$  and therefore an LF space. Take  $K$  such that  $K$  contains  $F_{n(K)}$  and let  $b$  be a basis of  $\Gamma_K$ .

PROPOSITION 2.5.1. *If  $y \in D^{\psi_q=1}$ , there is a unique cocycle  $c_b(y) \in Z_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K, D^{\psi_q=1})$  such that for all  $1 \leq j \leq d$  and  $k \geq 0$ , we have*

$$c_b(y)(b_j^k) = \ell^*(b) \cdot \frac{b_j^k - 1}{b_j - 1} \cdot \frac{\nabla^{d-1}}{\prod_{i \neq j} (b_i - 1)}(y).$$

We then have  $c_b(y)'(1) = \Theta_b(y)$ .

*Proof.* There is obviously one and only one continuous cocycle satisfying the conditions of the proposition. It is  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -analytic, and in order to prove that it is  $F$ -analytic, we need to check that the directional derivatives are independent of  $j$ . We have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_b(y)(b_j^k)}{\ell(b_j^k)} = \ell^*(b) \cdot \frac{\nabla^d}{\prod_i (b_i - 1)}(y) = \Theta_b(y),$$

which is indeed independent of  $j$ , and thus  $c_b(y)'(1) = \Theta_b(y)$ . □

LEMMA 2.5.2. *If  $n \geq n(K)$  and  $L = K_n$  and  $M = K_{n+e}$  and  $b$  is a basis of  $\Gamma_L$ , then  $b^p$  is a basis of  $\Gamma_M$  and  $\text{cor}_{M/L} c_{b^p}(y) = c_b(y)$ .*

*Proof.* The Lubin-Tate character maps  $\Gamma_L$  to  $1 + \pi^n \mathcal{O}_F$ , and  $\Gamma_M = \Gamma_L^p$  because  $(1 + \pi^n \mathcal{O}_F)^p = 1 + \pi^{n+e} \mathcal{O}_F$ . Since  $\{b_1^{k_1} \cdots b_d^{k_d}\}$  with  $0 \leq k_i \leq p - 1$  is a set of representatives for  $\Gamma_L/\Gamma_M$ , and since  $[M : L] = q^e = p^d$ , the explicit formula for the corestriction (definition 2.1.2) implies (here and elsewhere  $[x]$  is the smallest integer  $\geq x$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{cor}_{M/L}(c_{b^p}(y))(b_j^k) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k_1, \dots, k_d \leq p-1} b_1^{k_1} \cdots b_d^{k_d} \cdot \ell^*(b^p) \cdot \frac{b_j^{p \lceil \frac{k-k_j}{p} \rceil} - 1}{b_j^p - 1} \cdot \frac{\nabla^{d-1}}{\prod_{i \neq j} (b_i^p - 1)}(y) \\ &= \ell^*(b) \left( \sum_{k_j=0}^{p-1} b_j^{k_j} \frac{b_j^{p \lceil \frac{k-k_j}{p} \rceil} - 1}{b_j^p - 1} \right) \cdot \left( \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{b_i^p - 1}{b_i - 1} \right) \cdot \frac{\nabla^{d-1}}{\prod_{i \neq j} (b_i^p - 1)}(y) \\ &= \ell^*(b) \frac{b_j^k - 1}{b_j - 1} \cdot \frac{\nabla^{d-1}}{\prod_{i \neq j} (b_i - 1)}(y) \\ &= c_b(y)(b_j^k). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

LEMMA 2.5.3. *If  $a$  and  $b$  are two bases of  $\Gamma_K$ , then  $c_a(y)$  and  $c_b(y)$  are cohomologous.*

*Proof.* If  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$  and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d$  are in  $F^\times$ , the Laurent series

$$\frac{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_d \cdot T^{d-1}}{(\exp(\alpha_1 T) - 1) \cdots (\exp(\alpha_d T) - 1)} - \frac{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_d \cdot T^{d-1}}{(\exp(\beta_1 T) - 1) \cdots (\exp(\beta_d T) - 1)}$$

is the difference of two Laurent series, each having a simple pole at 0 with equal residues, and therefore belongs to  $F[[T]]$ . Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two bases of  $\Gamma_K$  and take  $y \in D^{\psi_q=1}$ .

Let  $N$  be a  $\Gamma_K$ -stable Fréchet subspace of  $D$  that contains  $y$  and write  $N = \varprojlim M_j$ . Since  $M = M_j$  is  $F$ -analytic, we have  $g = \exp(\ell(g)\nabla)$  on  $M$  for  $g$  in some open subgroup of  $\Gamma_K$ . Let  $k \gg 0$  be large enough such that  $a_i^{p^k}$  and  $b_i^{p^k}$  are in this subgroup, and let  $\alpha_i = p^k \ell(a_i)$  and  $\beta_i = p^k \ell(b_i)$ . Taking  $k$  large enough (depending on  $M$ ), we can assume moreover that the power series  $T/(\exp(T) - 1)$  applied to the operators  $\alpha_i \nabla$  and  $\beta_i \nabla$  converges on  $M$ . The element

$$w = \left( \frac{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_d \cdot \nabla^{d-1}}{(\exp(\alpha_1 \nabla) - 1) \cdots (\exp(\alpha_d \nabla) - 1)} - \frac{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_d \cdot \nabla^{d-1}}{(\exp(\beta_1 \nabla) - 1) \cdots (\exp(\beta_d \nabla) - 1)} \right) (y)$$

of  $M$  is well defined. By proposition 2.5.1, we have

$$c_{a^{p^k}}(y)'(1) - c_{b^{p^k}}(y)'(1) = \Theta_{a^{p^k}}(y) - \Theta_{b^{p^k}}(y) = p^{-r(L)} \nabla(w)$$

where  $L$  is the extension of  $K$  such that  $\Gamma_L = \Gamma_K^{p^k}$ . Thus, for  $g$  close enough to 1, we have  $c_{a^{p^k}}(y)(g) - c_{b^{p^k}}(y)(g) = (g - 1)(p^{-r(L)}w)$ . Lemma 2.5.2 now implies by corestricting that this holds for all  $g$ , and, by corestricting again, that  $c_a(y)$  and  $c_b(y)$  are cohomologous in  $M$ . By varying  $M$ , we get the same result in  $N$ , which implies the proposition.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.5.4. *If  $L/K$  is a finite extension contained in  $K_\infty$ , and if  $b$  is a basis of  $\Gamma_K$  and  $a$  is a basis of  $\Gamma_L$ , then  $\text{cor}_{L/K} c_a(y) = c_b(y)$ .*

*Proof.* The groups  $\Gamma_K$  and  $\Gamma_L$  are both free  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -modules of rank  $d$ , so that by the elementary divisors theorem, we can change the bases  $a$  and  $b$  in such a way that there exists  $e_1, \dots, e_d$  with  $a_i = b_i^{p^{e_i}}$ .

Since  $\{b_1^{k_1} \cdots b_d^{k_d}\}$  with  $0 \leq k_i \leq p^{e_i} - 1$  is a set of representatives for  $\Gamma_K/\Gamma_L$ , and since  $[L : K] = p^{e_1 + \dots + e_d}$ , the explicit formula for the corestriction implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{cor}_{L/K}(c_a(y))(b_j^k) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1 \leq p^{e_1-1} \\ \vdots \\ 0 \leq k_d \leq p^{e_d-1}}} b_1^{k_1} \dots b_d^{k_d} \cdot \ell^*(a) \cdot \frac{a_j^{\left\lfloor \frac{k-k_j}{p^{e_j}} \right\rfloor} - 1}{a_j - 1} \cdot \frac{\nabla^{d-1}}{\prod_{i \neq j} (a_i - 1)}(y) \\
 &= \ell^*(b) \cdot \left( \sum_{k_j=0}^{p^{e_j}-1} \frac{a_j^{\left\lfloor \frac{k-k_j}{p^{e_j}} \right\rfloor} - 1}{a_j - 1} \right) \cdot \left( \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{a_i - 1}{b_i - 1} \right) \cdot \frac{\nabla^{d-1}}{\prod_{i \neq j} (a_i - 1)}(y) \\
 &= \ell^*(b) \cdot \frac{b_j^k - 1}{b_j - 1} \cdot \frac{\nabla^{d-1}}{\prod_{i \neq j} (b_i - 1)}(y) \\
 &= c_b(y)(b_j^k).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

DEFINITION 2.5.5. Let  $h_{K,V}^1 : D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=1} \rightarrow H_{\text{an}}^1(K, V)$  denote the map obtained by composing  $y \mapsto \bar{c}_b(y)$  with  $H_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K, D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=1}) \rightarrow H_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K \times \Psi, D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V))$  (theorem 2.2.4) and with  $H_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K \times \Psi, D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)) \simeq H_{\text{an}}^1(K, V)$  (proposition 2.2.1 and corollary 2.2.3).

PROPOSITION 2.5.6. We have  $\text{cor}_{M/L} \circ h_{M,V}^1 = h_{L,V}^1$  if  $M/L$  is a finite extension contained in  $K_\infty/K_{n(K)}$ . In particular,  $\text{cor}_{K_{n+1}/K_n} \circ h_{K_{n+1},V}^1 = h_{K_n,V}^1$  if  $n \geq n(K)$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the definition and from lemma 2.5.4 above. □

REMARK 2.5.7. Proposition 2.5.6 allows us to extend the definition of  $h_{K,V}^1$  to all  $K$ , without assuming that  $K$  contains  $F_{n(K)}$ , by corestricting.

Some of the constructions of this section are summarized in the following theorem. Recall (see §3 of [Ber16]) that there is a ring  $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger$  that contains  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger$ , is equipped with a Frobenius map  $\varphi_q$  and an action of  $G_F$  and such that  $V = (\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger} D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V))^{\varphi_q=1}$ .

THEOREM 2.5.8. If  $y \in D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=1}$  and  $K$  contains  $K_{n(K)}$  and  $b$  is a basis of  $\Gamma_K$ , then

1. there is a unique  $c_b(y) \in Z_{\text{an}}^1(\Gamma_K, D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=1})$  such that for  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_p$ ,

$$c_b(y)(b_j^k) = \ell^*(b) \cdot \frac{b_j^k - 1}{b_j - 1} \cdot \frac{\nabla^{d-1}}{\prod_{i \neq j} (b_i - 1)}(y);$$

2. there is a unique  $m_c \in D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=0}$  such that  $(\varphi_q - 1)c_b(y)(g) = (g - 1)m_c$  for all  $g \in \Gamma_K$ ;

3. the  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module corresponding to this extension has a basis in which

$$\text{Mat}(g) = \begin{pmatrix} * & c_b(y)(g) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } g \in \Gamma_K, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Mat}(\varphi_q) = \begin{pmatrix} * & m_c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

4. if  $z \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger \otimes_F V$  is such that  $(\varphi_q - 1)z = m_c$ , then the cocycle

$$g \mapsto c_b(y)(g) - (g - 1)z$$

defined on  $G_K$  has values in  $V$  and represents  $h_{K,V}^1(y)$  in  $H_{\text{an}}^1(K, V)$ .

*Proof.* Items (1), (2) and (3) are reformulations of the constructions of this chapter. Let us prove (4). Let us write the  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module corresponding to the extension in (3) as  $D' = D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V) \oplus \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger \cdot e$ . It is an étale  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module that comes from the  $p$ -adic representation  $V' = (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^\dagger} D')^{\varphi_q=1}$ . We have  $V' = V \oplus F \cdot (e - z)$  as  $F$ -vector spaces since  $\varphi_q(e - z) = e - z$ . If  $g \in G_K$ , then

$$g(e - z) = e + c_b(y)(g) - g(z) = e - z + c_b(y)(g) - (g - 1)z.$$

This proves (4). □

Let  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\pi = p = q$ , and let  $V$  be a representation of  $G_K$ . In §II.1 of [CC99], Cherbonnier and Colmez define a map  $\text{Log}_{V^*(1)}^* : D^\dagger(V)^{\psi=1} \rightarrow H_{\text{Iw}}^1(K, V)$ , which is an isomorphism (theorem II.1.3 and proposition III.3.2 of [CC99]).

PROPOSITION 2.5.9. *If  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\pi = p$ , then the map*

$$D^\dagger(V)^{\psi=1} \rightarrow D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi=1} \xrightarrow{\{h_{K_n,V}^1\}_{n \geq 1}} \varprojlim_n H_{\text{an}}^1(K_n, V) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H^1(K_n, V)$$

*coincides with the map  $\text{Log}_{V^*(1)}^* : D^\dagger(V)^{\psi=1} \rightarrow H_{\text{Iw}}^1(K, V) \subset \varprojlim_n H^1(K_n, V)$ .*

*Proof.* The map  $\text{Log}_{V^*(1)}^*$  is constructed by mapping  $x \in D^\dagger(V)^{\psi=1}$  to the sequence  $(\dots, \iota_{\psi,n}(x), \dots) \in \varprojlim_n H^1(K_n, V)$  (see theorem II.1.3 in [CC99] and the paragraph preceding it), where

$$\iota_{\psi,n}(x) = \left[ \sigma \mapsto \ell_{K_n}(\gamma_n) \left( \frac{\sigma - 1}{\gamma_n - 1} x - (\sigma - 1)b \right) \right]$$

on  $G_{K_n}$  and where (see proposition I.4.1, lemma I.5.2 and lemma I.5.5 of *ibid.*)

1.  $\gamma_n = \gamma_1^{[K_n:K_1]}$  and  $\gamma_1$  is a fixed generator of  $\Gamma_{K_1}$ ;

2.  $\ell_{K_n}(\gamma_n) = \frac{\log \chi(\gamma_n)}{p^{r(K_n)}}$  where  $r(K_n)$  is the integer such that  $\log \chi(\Gamma_{K_n}) = p^{r(K_n)} \mathbf{Z}_p$ ;
3.  $b \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$  is such that  $(\varphi - 1)b = a$  and  $a \in \mathbf{D}^\dagger(V)^{\psi=1}$  is such that  $(\gamma_n - 1)a = (\varphi - 1)x$  (using the fact that  $\gamma_n - 1$  is bijective on  $\mathbf{D}^\dagger(V)^{\psi=0}$ ).

The theorem follows from comparing this with the explicit formula of theorem 2.5.8. □

### 3 EXPLICIT FORMULAS FOR CRYSTALLINE REPRESENTATIONS

In this chapter, we explain how the constructions of the previous chapter are related to  $p$ -adic Hodge theory, via Bloch and Kato’s exponential maps. Let  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}$  be Fontaine’s ring of periods [Fon94] and let  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{max},F}^+$  be the subring of  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$  that is constructed in §8.5 of [Col02] (recall that  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{max},F}^+ = F \otimes_{F_0} \mathbf{B}_{\text{max}}^+$  where  $F_0 = F \cap \mathbf{Q}_p^{\text{unr}}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{max}}^+$  is a ring that is similar to Fontaine’s  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{cris}}$ ). We assume throughout this chapter that  $K = F$  and that the representation  $V$  is crystalline and  $F$ -analytic.

#### 3.1 CRYSTALLINE $F$ -ANALYTIC REPRESENTATIONS

If  $V$  is an  $F$ -analytic crystalline representation of  $G_F$ , let  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = (\mathbf{B}_{\text{max},F} \otimes_F V)^{G_F}$  (this is the “component at identity” of the usual  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}$ ). By corollary 3.3.8 of [KR09],  $F$ -analytic crystalline representations of  $G_F$  are overconvergent. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{M}(D) \subset \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+[1/t_\pi] \otimes_F D$  is the object constructed in §2.2 of *ibid.*, then by §2.4 of *ibid.*,  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))$  contains a basis of  $\mathbf{D}^\dagger(V)$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V) = \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+} \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V))$ . This implies that  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V) \subset \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+[1/t_\pi] \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.1.** *We have  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=1} \subset \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+[1/t_\pi] \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $h \geq 0$  such that the slopes of  $\pi^{-h}\varphi_q$  on  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$  are  $\leq -d$ . Let  $E$  be an extension of  $F$  such that  $E$  contains the eigenvalues of  $\varphi_q$  on  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ . We show that  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=1} \subset t_\pi^{-h}E \otimes_F \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ . Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be a basis of  $t_\pi^{-h}E \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$  in which the matrix  $(p_{i,j})$  of  $\varphi_q$  is upper triangular. If  $y = \sum_{i=1}^d y_i \otimes \varphi_q(e_i)$  with  $y_i \in E \otimes_F \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$ , then  $\psi_q(y) = y$  if and only if  $\psi_q(y_k) = p_{k,k}y_k + \sum_{j>k} p_{k,j}y_j$  for all  $k$ . The theorem follows from applying lemma 3.1.2 below to  $k = n, n - 1, \dots, 1$ . □

**LEMMA 3.1.2.** *Take  $y \in E \otimes_F \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$  and  $\alpha \in F$  such that  $\text{val}_\pi(\alpha) \leq -d$ . If  $\psi_q(y) - \alpha y \in E \otimes_F \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$ , then  $y \in E \otimes_F \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$ .*

*Proof.* This is lemma 5.4 of [FX13]. □

3.2 BLOCH-KATO'S EXPONENTIALS FOR ANALYTIC REPRESENTATIONS

We now recall the definition of Bloch-Kato's exponential map and its dual, and give a similar definition for  $F$ -analytic representations.

LEMMA 3.2.1. *We have an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow (\mathbf{B}_{\max,F}^+[1/t_\pi])^{\varphi_q=1} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}/\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* This is lemma 9.25 of [Col02]. □

If  $V$  is a de Rham  $F$ -linear representation of  $G_K$ , we can  $\otimes_F$  the above sequence with  $V$  and we get a connecting homomorphism  $\exp_{K,V} : (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_F V)^{G_K} \rightarrow H^1(K, V)$ . Recall that if  $W$  is an  $F$ -vector space, there is a natural injective map  $W \otimes_F V \rightarrow W \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$ .

LEMMA 3.2.2. *If  $V$  is  $F$ -analytic, the map  $\exp_{K,V} : (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_F V)^{G_K} \rightarrow H^1(K, V)$  defined above coincides with Bloch-Kato's exponential via the inclusion  $(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_F V)^{G_K} \subset (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$ , and its image is in  $H_{\mathrm{an}}^1(K, V)$ .*

*Proof.* Bloch and Kato's exponential is defined as follows (definition 3.10 of [BK90]): if  $\varphi_p$  denotes the Frobenius map that lifts  $x \mapsto x^p$  and if  $x \in (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$ , there exists  $\tilde{x} \in \mathbf{B}_{\max,\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\varphi_p=1} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$  such that  $\tilde{x} - x \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$ , and  $\exp(x)$  is represented by the cocycle  $g \mapsto (g - 1)\tilde{x}$ .

Lemma 3.2.1 says that we can lift  $x \in (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_F V)^{G_K}$  to some  $\tilde{x} \in (\mathbf{B}_{\max,F}^+[1/t_\pi])^{\varphi_q=1} \otimes_F V$  such that  $\tilde{x} - x \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \otimes_F V \subset \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$ . In addition,  $\mathbf{B}_{\max,\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\varphi_q=1} = F_0 \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \mathbf{B}_{\max,\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\varphi_p=1}$  (see lemma 1.1.11 of [Ber08]) so that  $(\mathbf{B}_{\max,F}^+[1/t_\pi])^{\varphi_q=1} \subset F \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \mathbf{B}_{\max,\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\varphi_p=1}$ . We can therefore view  $\tilde{x}$  as an element of  $\mathbf{B}_{\max,\mathbf{Q}_p}^{\varphi_p=1} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$ , and  $\exp_{K,V}(x) = [g \mapsto (g - 1)\tilde{x}] = \exp(x)$ .

The construction of  $\exp_{K,V}(x)$  shows that the cocycle  $\exp_{K,V}(x)$  is de Rham. At each embedding  $\tau \neq \mathrm{Id}$  of  $F$ , the extension of  $F$  by  $V$  given by  $\exp_{K,V}(x)$  is therefore Hodge-Tate with weights 0. This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

Recall the following theorem of Kato (see §II.1 of [Kat93]).

THEOREM 3.2.3. *If  $V$  is a de Rham representation, the map from  $(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$  to  $H^1(K, \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)$  defined by  $x \mapsto [g \mapsto \log(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}(\bar{g}))x]$  is an isomorphism, and the dual exponential map  $\exp_{K,V^*(1)}^* : H^1(K, V) \rightarrow (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)^{G_K}$  is equal to the composition of the map  $H^1(K, V) \rightarrow H^1(K, \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)$  with the inverse of this isomorphism.*

Concretely, if  $c \in Z^1(K, \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V)$  is some cocycle, there exists  $w \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$  such that  $c(g) = \log(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}(\bar{g})) \cdot \exp_{K,V^*(1)}^*(c) + (g - 1)(w)$ .

COROLLARY 3.2.4. *If  $c \in Z^1(K, \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_F V)$ , and if there exist  $x \in (\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_F V)^{G_K}$  and  $w \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_F V$  such that  $c(g) = \ell(\bar{g}) \cdot x + (g - 1)(w)$ , then  $\exp_{K,V^*(1)}^*(c) = x$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from theorem 3.2.3 and from the fact that  $g \mapsto \log(\chi_\pi(\bar{g})/\chi_{\text{cyc}}(\bar{g}))$  is  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}$ -admissible, since  $t_\pi/t \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+)^{\times}$  so that  $\log(t_\pi/t) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+$  is well-defined. □

### 3.3 INTERPOLATING EXPONENTIALS AND THEIR DUALS

Let  $V$  be an  $F$ -analytic crystalline representation. By theorem 3.1.1, we have  $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=1} \subset \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+[1/t_\pi] \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)$ . Let  $\partial_V$  denote the map  $\partial_D$  of §2.4 for  $D = D_{\text{cris}}(V)$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.1.** *If  $y \in D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=1}$ , then*

$$\exp_{F_n, V^*(1)}^*(h_{F_n, V}^1(y)) = \begin{cases} q^{-n} \partial_V(\varphi_q^{-n}(y)) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ (1 - q^{-1} \varphi_q^{-1}) \partial_V(y) & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(F_{n+1}, V) & \xrightarrow{\exp_{F_{n+1}, V^*(1)}^*} & F_{n+1} \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V) \\ \text{cor}_{F_{n+1}/F_n} \downarrow & & \text{Tr}_{F_{n+1}/F_n} \downarrow \\ H^1(F_n, V) & \xrightarrow{\exp_{F_n, V^*(1)}^*} & F_n \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V) \end{array}$$

is commutative, we only need to prove the theorem when  $n \geq n(F)$  by lemma 2.4.3 and proposition 2.5.6. By theorem 2.5.8, we have

$$h_{F_n, V}^1(y)(b_j^k) = \ell^*(b) \cdot \frac{b_j^k - 1}{b_j - 1} \cdot \frac{\nabla^{d-1}}{\prod_{i \neq j} (b_i - 1)}(y) - (b_j^k - 1)z,$$

with  $z \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger \otimes_F V$  so that if  $m \gg 0$ , then  $\varphi_q^{-m}(z) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_F V$  (see §3 of [Ber16] and §2.2 of [Ber02]). Moreover,  $\varphi_q^{-m}(y) \in F_m((t_\pi)) \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)$ . Let  $W = \{w \in F_m((t_\pi)) \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V) \text{ such that } \partial_V(w) = 0\}$ . The operator  $\nabla$  is bijective on  $W$ , and  $F_m((t_\pi)) \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)$  injects into  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_F V$ , hence there exists  $u \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}} \otimes_F V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{F_n, V}^1(y)(b_j^k) &= \ell^*(b) \cdot \frac{b_j^k - 1}{b_j - 1} \cdot \frac{\nabla^{d-1}}{\prod_{i \neq j} (b_i - 1)}(\partial_V(\varphi_q^{-m}(y))) - (b_j^k - 1)u \\ &= \ell(b_j^k) \cdot \Theta_b(\partial_V(\varphi_q^{-m}(y))) - (b_j^k - 1)u \\ &= \ell(b_j^k) \cdot q^{-n} \partial_V(\varphi_q^{-n}(y)) - (b_j^k - 1)u, \end{aligned}$$

by lemmas 2.4.1 and 2.4.3. This proves the theorem by corollary 3.2.4. □

We now give explicit formulas for  $\exp_{F_n, V}$ . Take  $h \geq 0$  such that  $\text{Fil}^{-h} D_{\text{cris}}(V) = D_{\text{cris}}(V)$ , so that  $t_\pi^h(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)) \subset D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)$  (in the notation of §2.2 of [KR09], we have  $t_\pi^h(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)) \subset \mathcal{M}(D_{\text{cris}}(V))$ ). In particular, if  $y \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi_q=1}$ , then  $\nabla_{h-1} \circ \dots \circ \nabla_0(y) \in D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=1}$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.2.** *If  $y \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi_q=1}$ , then*

$$h_{F_n,V}^1(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0(y)) = (-1)^{h-1}(h-1)! \begin{cases} \exp_{F_n,V}(q^{-n}\partial_V(\varphi_q^{-n}(y))) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ \exp_{F,V}((1-q^{-1}\varphi_q^{-1})\partial_V(y)) & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{n+1} \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V) & \xrightarrow{\exp_{F_{n+1},V}} & H^1(F_{n+1}, V) \\ \text{Tr}_{F_{n+1}/F_n} \downarrow & & \text{cor}_{F_{n+1}/F_n} \downarrow \\ F_n \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V) & \xrightarrow{\exp_{F_n,V}} & H^1(F_n, V) \end{array}$$

is commutative, we only need to prove the theorem when  $n \geq n(F)$  by lemma 2.4.3 and proposition 2.5.6. By theorem 2.5.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{F_n,V}^1(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0(y))(b_j^k) \\ &= \ell^*(b) \cdot \frac{b_j^k - 1}{b_j - 1} \cdot \frac{\nabla^{d-1}}{\prod_{i \neq j} (b_i - 1)} (\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0(y)) - (b_j^k - 1)z \\ &= (b_j^k - 1) \cdot (\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_1 \circ \Theta_b)(y) - (b_j^k - 1)z, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $h_{F_n,V}^1(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0(y))(g) = (g-1)(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_1 \circ \Theta_b)(y) - (g-1)z$  if  $g \in \Gamma_K$ . By lemma 2.4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_1 \circ \Theta_b)((\varphi_q - 1)y) \\ & \in (t_\pi/\varphi_q^n(T))^h (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi_q=0} \subset D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=0}, \end{aligned}$$

so that (in the notation of theorem 2.5.8)  $m_c = (\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_1 \circ \Theta_b)((\varphi_q - 1)y)$ . Since  $(\varphi_q - 1)z = m_c$ , we have  $(\varphi_q - 1)((\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_1 \circ \Theta_b)(y) - z) = 0$ , and therefore

$$(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_1 \circ \Theta_b)(y) - z \in (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger[1/t_\pi])^{\varphi_q=1} \otimes_F V$$

The ring  $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger$  contains  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{max},F}^+$  and the inclusion  $(\mathbf{B}_{\text{max},F}^+[1/t_\pi])^{\varphi_q=1} \subset (\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger[1/t_\pi])^{\varphi_q=1}$  is an equality (proposition 3.2 of [Ber02]). This implies that

$$(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_1 \circ \Theta_b)(y) - z \subset (\mathbf{B}_{\text{max},F}^+[1/t_\pi])^{\varphi_q=1} \otimes_F V.$$

Moreover, we have  $z \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\text{rig}}^\dagger \otimes_F V$  so that if  $m \gg 0$ , then  $\varphi_q^{-m}(z) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_F V$ . In addition,  $\varphi_q^{-m}(y)$  belongs to  $F_m[[t_\pi]] \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)$ , so that  $\varphi_q^{-m}(y) - \partial_V(\varphi_q^{-m}(y))$  belongs to  $t_\pi F_m[[t_\pi]] \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_1 \circ \Theta_b)(\varphi_q^{-m}(y) - \partial_V(\varphi_q^{-m}(y))) \in t_\pi^h F_m[[t_\pi]] \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V) \\ & \subset \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_F V. \end{aligned}$$



We can hence write

$$h_{F_n, V}^1(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \dots \circ \nabla_0(y))(g) = (g-1)(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \dots \circ \nabla_1 \circ \Theta_b \circ \partial_V(\varphi_q^{-m}(y)) - (g-1)u,$$

with  $u \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{dR}}^+ \otimes_F V$ . The theorem now follows from the fact that

$$\Theta_b \circ \partial_V(\varphi_q^{-m}(y)) = q^{-n} \partial_V(\varphi_q^{-n}(y)) \in F_n \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$$

by lemmas 2.4.2 and 2.4.3, that  $\nabla_{h-1} \circ \dots \circ \nabla_1 = (-1)^{h-1}(h-1)!$  on  $F_n \otimes_F \mathbf{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ , and from the reminders given in §3.2, in particular the fact that  $\text{exp}_{K, V}$  is the connecting homomorphism when tensoring the exact sequence of lemma 3.2.1 with  $V$  and taking Galois invariants.  $\square$

### 3.4 KUMMER THEORY AND THE REPRESENTATION $F(\chi_\pi)$

Throughout this section,  $V = F(\chi_\pi)$ . Let  $L \subset \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  be an extension of  $K$ . The Kummer map  $\delta : \text{LT}(\mathfrak{m}_L) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(L, V)$  is defined as follows. Choose a generator  $u = (u_k)_{k \geq 0}$  of  $T_\pi \text{LT} = \varprojlim_k \text{LT}[\pi^k]$ . If  $x \in \text{LT}(\mathfrak{m}_L)$ , let  $x_k \in \text{LT}(\mathfrak{m}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p})$  be such that  $[\pi^k](x_k) = x$ . If  $g \in G_L$ , then  $g(x_k) - x_k \in \text{LT}[\pi^k]$  so that we can write  $g(x_k) - x_k = [c_k(g)](u_k)$  for some  $c_k(g) \in \mathcal{O}_F/\pi^k$ . If  $c(g) = (c_k(g))_{k \geq 0} \in \mathcal{O}_F$  then  $\delta(x) = [g \mapsto c(g)] \in \mathbf{H}^1(L, V)$ .

If  $x \in \text{LT}(\mathfrak{m}_L)$ , and  $L/K$  is finite Galois, let  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}^{\text{LT}}$  be the map defined by  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}^{\text{LT}}(x) = \sum_{g \in \text{Gal}(L/K)} g(x)$  where the superscript LT means that the summation is carried out using the Lubin-Tate addition. If  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\text{LT} = \mathbf{G}_m$ , we recover the classical Kummer map, and  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}^{\text{LT}}(x) = N_{L/K}(1+x) - 1$ .

LEMMA 3.4.1. *We have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{LT}(\mathfrak{m}_{K_{n+1}}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathbf{H}^1(K_{n+1}, V) \\ \text{Tr}_{K_{n+1}/K_n}^{\text{LT}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{cor}_{K_{n+1}/K_n} \\ \text{LT}(\mathfrak{m}_{K_n}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \mathbf{H}^1(K_n, V). \end{array}$$

*Proof.* This is a straightforward consequence of the explicit description of the corestriction map.  $\square$

Recall that  $\varphi_q \circ \psi_q(f) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\omega \in \text{LT}[\pi]} f(T \oplus \omega)$ , so that for  $n \geq 1$ :

$$\psi_q(f)(u_n) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\omega \in \text{LT}[\pi]} f(u_{n+1} \oplus \omega) = \frac{1}{q} \text{Tr}_{F_{n+1}/F_n} f(u_{n+1}).$$

In particular, if  $f(T) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+$  is such that  $\psi_q(f(T)) = 1/\pi \cdot f(T)$  and  $y_n = f(u_n)$ , then  $\text{Tr}_{F_{n+1}/F_n}(y_{n+1}) = q/\pi \cdot y_n$ .

PROPOSITION 3.4.2. *Assume that  $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$ . If  $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence with  $y_n \in F_n$  and  $\text{Tr}_{F_{n+1}/F_n}(y_{n+1}) = q/\pi \cdot y_n$ , there exists  $f(T) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+$  such that  $\psi_q(f(T)) = 1/\pi \cdot f(T)$  and  $y_n = f(u_n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* By [Laz62], there exists a power series  $g(T) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$  such that  $g(u_n) = y_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . We also have

$$\psi_q g(0) = \frac{1}{q} g(0) + \frac{1}{q} \text{Tr}_{F_1/F_0} g(u_1),$$

and since  $q \neq \pi$  (because  $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$ ), we can choose  $g(0)$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} g(0) = \frac{1}{q} g(0) + \frac{1}{q} \text{Tr}_{F_1/F_0} y_1.$$

This implies that  $(\psi_q(g) - 1/\pi \cdot g)(u_n) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , so that  $\psi_q(g) - 1/\pi \cdot g \in t_\pi \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$ . It is therefore enough to prove that  $\psi_q - 1/\pi : t_\pi \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \rightarrow t_\pi \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$  is onto. Since  $\psi_q(t_\pi f) = 1/\pi \cdot t_\pi \psi_q(f)$ , this amounts to proving that  $\psi_q - 1 : \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$  is onto, which follows from corollary 2.3.4.  $\square$

**DEFINITION 3.4.3.** Let  $S$  denote the set of sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  with  $x_n \in \mathfrak{m}_{F_n}$  and  $\text{Tr}_{F_{n+1}/F_n}^{\text{LT}}(x_{n+1}) = [q/\pi](x_n)$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

The following proposition says that if  $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$ , then  $S$  is quite large: for any  $k \geq 1$ , the “ $k$ -th component” map  $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} S \rightarrow F_k$  is surjective (if  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ , there are restrictions on “universal norms”).

**PROPOSITION 3.4.4.** *Assume that  $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$ . If  $z \in \mathfrak{m}_{F_k}$ , there exists  $\ell \geq 0$  and  $x \in S$  such that  $x_k = [\pi^\ell](z)$ .*

*Proof.* We claim that  $\text{Tr}_{F_{n+1}/F_n}(\mathcal{O}_{F_{n+1}}) = \pi \mathcal{O}_{F_n}$ . Indeed, let  $\mathcal{D}$  denote the different. We have (see for instance proposition 7.11 of [Iwa86])

$$\text{val}_p(\mathcal{D}_{F_{n+1}/F_n}) = \frac{1}{e} \left( n + 1 - \frac{1}{q-1} \right) - \frac{1}{e} \left( n - \frac{1}{q-1} \right) = \text{val}_p(\pi).$$

This implies that  $\text{Tr}_{F_{n+1}/F_n}(\mathcal{O}_{F_{n+1}}) = \pi \mathcal{O}_{F_n}$  by proposition 7 of Chapter III of [Ser68].

Since  $\pi$  divides  $q/\pi$ , this shows that given  $y \in \mathcal{O}_{F_k}$ , there exists a sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  with  $x_n \in \mathcal{O}_{F_n}$  such that  $y_k = y$ , and  $\text{Tr}_{F_{n+1}/F_n}(y_{n+1}) = q/\pi \cdot y_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Take  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \geq 0$  such that  $\pi^{\ell_1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$  is in the domain of  $\exp_{\text{LT}}$  and such that  $\pi^{\ell_2} \log_{\text{LT}}(z) \in \mathcal{O}_{F_k}$ . Let  $y = \pi^{\ell_2} \log_{\text{LT}}(z)$ . Let  $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence as above, let  $x_n = \exp_{\text{LT}}(\pi^{\ell_1} y_n)$  and  $\ell = \ell_1 + \ell_2$ . The elements  $x_k \ominus [\pi^\ell](z)$ , as well as  $\text{Tr}_{F_{n+1}/F_n}^{\text{LT}}(x_{n+1}) \ominus [q/\pi](x_n)$  for all  $n$ , have their  $\log_{\text{LT}}$  equal to zero and are in a domain in which  $\log_{\text{LT}}$  is injective. This proves the proposition.  $\square$

If  $x \in S$  and  $y_n = \log_{\text{LT}}(x_n)$ , then  $y_n \in F_n$  and  $\text{Tr}_{F_{n+1}/F_n}(y_{n+1}) = q/\pi \cdot y_n$ , so that by proposition 3.4.2, there exists  $f(T) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$  such that  $\psi_q(f(T)) = \pi^{-1} \cdot f(T)$  and  $y_n = f(u_n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . If  $f(T) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$  is such that  $\psi_q(f(T)) = \pi^{-1} \cdot f(T)$ , then  $\partial f \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi_q=1}$  and  $\partial f \cdot u$  can be seen as an element of  $D_{\text{rig}}^+(V)^{\psi_q=1}$ .

**THEOREM 3.4.5.** *If  $x \in S$ , and if  $f(T) \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+$  is such that  $f(u_n) = \log_{\text{LT}}(x_n)$  and  $\psi_q(f(T)) = \pi^{-1} \cdot f(T)$ , then  $h_{F_n,V}^1(\partial f(T) \cdot u) = (q/\pi)^{-n} \cdot \delta(x_n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y = f(T) \otimes t_\pi^{-1}u$ , so that  $y \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi_q=1}$ . By theorem 3.3.2 applied to  $y$  with  $h = 1$ , we have  $h_{F_n,V}^1(\nabla(y)) = \exp_{F_n,V}(q^{-n} \partial_V(\varphi_q^{-n}(y)))$  if  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $\varphi_q^{-n} \circ \partial = \pi^n \cdot \partial \circ \varphi_q^{-n}$ , this implies that

$$h_{F_n,V}^1(\partial f(T) \cdot u) = \exp_{F_n,V}(q^{-n} \partial_V(\varphi_q^{-n}(y))) = (q/\pi)^{-n} \cdot \exp_{F_n,V}(\log_{\text{LT}}(x_n) \cdot u).$$

By example 3.10.1 of [BK90] and lemma 3.2.2, we have  $\delta(x_n) = \exp_{F_n,V}(\log_{\text{LT}}(x_n) \cdot u)$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$

*Remark 3.4.6.* If  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\pi = q = p$  and  $x = \{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , this theorem says that  $\text{Exp}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^*(\delta(x)) = \partial \log \text{Col}_x(T)$ , which is (iii) of proposition V.3.2 of [CC99] (see theorem II.1.3 of *ibid* for the definition of the map  $\text{Exp}_{\mathbf{Q}_p}^* : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(F, \mathbf{Q}_p(1)) \rightarrow D_{\text{rig}}^+(\mathbf{Q}_p(1))^{\psi_q=1}$ ).

*Remark 3.4.7.* If  $x \in S$ , then by proposition 3.4.2, there is a power series  $f(T)$  such that  $f(u_n) = \log_{\text{LT}}(x_n)$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Is there a power series  $g(T) \in \mathcal{O}_F[[T]]$  such that  $g(u_n) = x_n$ , so that  $f(T) = \log g(T)$ ?

If  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$ , such a power series is the classical Coleman power series [Col79]. If  $F \neq \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $x \in S$  and  $z$  is a  $[q/\pi]$ -torsion point, and  $k \geq d - 1$  so that  $z \in F_k$ , then the sequence  $x' = \{x'_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  defined by  $x'_n = x_n$  if  $n \neq k$  and  $x'_k = x_k \oplus z$  also belongs to  $S$ . This means that we cannot naïvely interpolate  $x$ .

### 3.5 PERRIN-RIOU'S BIG EXPONENTIAL MAP

In this last section, we explain how the explicit formulas of the previous sections can be used to give a Lubin-Tate analogue of Perrin-Riou's "big exponential map" [PR94]. Take  $h \geq 1$  such that  $\text{Fil}^{-h} D_{\text{cris}}(V) = D_{\text{cris}}(V)$ . If  $f \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)$ , let  $\Delta(f)$  be the image of  $\bigoplus_{k=0}^h \partial^k(f)(0)$  in  $\bigoplus_{k=0}^h D_{\text{cris}}(V)/(1 - \pi^k \varphi_q)$ .

**LEMMA 3.5.1.** *There is an exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k=0}^h t_\pi^k D_{\text{cris}}(V)^{\varphi_q = \pi^{-k}} \rightarrow \left( \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V) \right)^{\psi_q=1} \xrightarrow{1 - \varphi_q} \left( \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \right)^{\psi_q=0} \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \bigoplus_{k=0}^h \frac{D_{\text{cris}}(V)}{1 - \pi^k \varphi_q} \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Note that the map  $\varphi_q$  acts diagonally on tensor products. It is easy to see that  $\ker(1 - \varphi_q) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^h t_\pi^k D_{\text{cris}}(V)^{\varphi_q = \pi^{-k}}$ , that  $\Delta$  is surjective, and that  $\text{im}(1 - \varphi_q) \subset \ker \Delta$ , so we now prove that  $\text{im}(1 - \varphi_q) = \ker \Delta$ .

If  $f, g \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)$  and  $f = (1 - \varphi_q)g$ , then  $\psi_q(f) = 0$  if and only if  $\psi_q(g) = g$ . It is therefore enough to show that if  $f \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)$  is such that  $\Delta(f) = 0$ , then  $f = (1 - \varphi_q)g$  for some  $g \in \mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)$ .

The map  $1 - \varphi_q : T^{h+1}\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V) \rightarrow T^{h+1}\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)$  is bijective because the slopes of  $\varphi_q$  on  $T^{h+1}\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D$  are  $> 0$ . This implies that  $1 - \varphi_q$  induces a sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k=0}^h t_{\pi}^k D_{\text{cris}}(V)^{\varphi_q = \pi^{-k}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)}{T^{h+1}\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)} \xrightarrow{\overline{1-\varphi_q}} \frac{\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)}{T^{h+1}\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \bigoplus_{k=0}^h \frac{D_{\text{cris}}(V)}{1 - \pi^k \varphi_q}.$$

We have  $\ker(\overline{1 - \varphi_q}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^h t_{\pi}^k D_{\text{cris}}(V)^{\varphi_q = \pi^{-k}}$  and by comparing dimensions, we see that  $\text{coker}(\overline{1 - \varphi_q}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^h D_{\text{cris}}(V)/(1 - \pi^k \varphi_q)$ . This and the bijectivity of  $1 - \varphi_q$  on  $T^{h+1}\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)$  imply the claim.  $\square$

If  $f \in ((\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi_q=0} \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\Delta=0}$ , then by lemma 3.5.1 there exists  $y \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi_q=1}$  such that  $f = (1 - \varphi_q)y$ . Since  $\nabla_{h-1} \circ \dots \circ \nabla_0$  kills  $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{h-1} t_{\pi}^k D_{\text{cris}}(V)^{\varphi_q = \pi^{-k}}$  we see that  $\nabla_{h-1} \circ \dots \circ \nabla_0(y)$  does not depend upon the choice of such a  $y$  (unless  $D_{\text{cris}}(V)^{\varphi_q = \pi^{-h}} \neq 0$ ).

DEFINITION 3.5.2. Let  $h \geq 1$  be such that  $\text{Fil}^{-h} D_{\text{cris}}(V) = D_{\text{cris}}(V)$  and such that  $D_{\text{cris}}(V)^{\varphi_q = \pi^{-h}} = 0$ . We deduce from the above construction a well-defined map:

$$\Omega_{V,h} : ((\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi_q=0} \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\Delta=0} \rightarrow D_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V)^{\psi_q=1},$$

given by  $\Omega_{V,h}(f) = \nabla_{h-1} \circ \dots \circ \nabla_0(y)$  where the element  $y \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi_q=1}$  is such that  $f = (1 - \varphi_q)y$  and is provided by lemma 3.5.1.

If  $D_{\text{cris}}(V)^{\varphi_q = \pi^{-h}} \neq 0$ , we get a map

$$\Omega_{V,h} : ((\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi_q=0} \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\Delta=0} \rightarrow D_{\text{rig}}^{\dagger}(V)^{\psi_q=1} / V^{GF = \chi_{\pi}^h}.$$

Let  $u$  be a basis of  $F(\chi_{\pi})$  as above, and let  $e_j = u^{\otimes j}$  if  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

THEOREM 3.5.3. Take  $y \in (\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\psi_q=1}$  and let  $h \geq 1$  be such that  $\text{Fil}^{-h} D_{\text{cris}}(V) = D_{\text{cris}}(V)$ . Let  $f = (1 - \varphi_q)y$  so that  $f \in ((\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig},F}^+)^{\psi_q=0} \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V))^{\Delta=0}$ .

If  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $h + j \geq 1$ , then

$$h_{F_n, V(\chi_{\pi}^j)}^1(\Omega_{V,h}(f) \otimes e_j) = (-1)^{h+j-1} (h + j - 1)! \times \begin{cases} \exp_{F_n, V(\chi_{\pi}^j)}(q^{-n} \partial_{V(\chi_{\pi}^j)}(\varphi_q^{-n}(\partial^{-j} y \otimes t_{\pi}^{-j} e_j))) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ \exp_{F, V(\chi_{\pi}^j)}((1 - q^{-1} \varphi_q^{-1}) \partial_{V(\chi_{\pi}^j)}(\partial^{-j} y \otimes t_{\pi}^{-j} e_j)) & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

If  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $h + j \leq 0$ , then

$$\exp_{F_n, V^*(1-j)}^*(h_{F_n, V(\chi_\pi^j)}^1(\Omega_{V,h}(f) \otimes e_j)) = \frac{1}{(-h-j)!} \begin{cases} q^{-n} \partial_{V(\chi_\pi^j)}(\varphi_q^{-n}(\partial^{-j}y \otimes t_\pi^{-j}e_j)) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \\ (1 - q^{-1}\varphi_q^{-1})\partial_{V(\chi_\pi^j)}(\partial^{-j}y \otimes t_\pi^{-j}e_j) & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If  $h + j \geq 1$ , the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)^{\psi_q=1} & \xrightarrow{\otimes e_j} & D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V(\chi_\pi^j))^{\psi_q=1} \\ \nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0 \uparrow & & \nabla_{h+j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0 \uparrow \\ \left(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V)\right)^{\psi_q=1} & \xrightarrow{\partial^{-j} \otimes t^{-j} e_j} & \left(\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+ \otimes_F D_{\text{cris}}(V(\chi_\pi^j))\right)^{\psi_q=1}, \end{array}$$

and the theorem is a straightforward consequence of theorem 3.3.2 applied to  $\partial^{-j}y \otimes t^{-j}e_j$ ,  $h + j$  and  $V(\chi_\pi^j)$  (which are the  $j$ -th twists of  $y$ ,  $h$  and  $V$ ).

If  $h + j \leq 0$ , and  $\Gamma_{F_n}$  is torsion free, then theorem 3.3.1 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp_{F_n, V^*(1-j)}^*(h_{F_n, V(\chi_\pi^j)}^1(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0(y) \otimes e_j)) \\ = q^{-n} \partial_{V(\chi_\pi^j)}(\varphi_q^{-n}(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0(y) \otimes e_j)) \end{aligned}$$

in  $D_{\text{cris}}(V(\chi_\pi^j))$ , and a short computation involving Taylor series shows that

$$\partial_{V(\chi_\pi^j)}(\varphi_q^{-n}(\nabla_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \nabla_0(y) \otimes e_j)) = (-h-j)!^{-1} \partial_{V(\chi_\pi^j)}(\varphi_q^{-n}(\partial^{-j}y \otimes t_\pi^{-j}e_j)).$$

To get the other  $n$ , we corestrict. □

**COROLLARY 3.5.4.** *We have  $\Omega_{V,h}(x) \otimes e_j = \Omega_{V(\chi_\pi^j), h+j}(\partial^{-j}x \otimes t_\pi^{-j}e_j)$  and  $\nabla_h \circ \Omega_{V,h}(x) = \Omega_{V, h+1}(x)$ .*

*Remark 3.5.5.* The notation  $\partial^{-j}$  is somewhat abusive if  $j \geq 1$  as  $\partial$  is not injective on  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{rig}, F}^+$  (it is surjective as can be seen by “integrating” directly a power series) but the reader can check that this leads to no ambiguity in the formulas of theorem 3.5.3 above.

If  $F = \mathbf{Q}_p$  and  $\pi = p$ , definition 3.5.2 and theorem 3.5.3 are given in §II.5 of [Ber03]. They imply that  $\Omega_{V,h}$  coincides with Perrin-Riou’s exponential map (see theorem 3.2.3 of [PR94]) after making suitable identifications (theorem II.13 of [Ber03]).

Our definition therefore generalizes Perrin-Riou’s exponential map to the  $F$ -analytic setting. We hope to use the results of [Fou05] and [Fou08] to relate our constructions to suitable Iwasawa algebras as in the cyclotomic case.

## REFERENCES

- [Ber02] L. BERGER – “Représentations  $p$ -adiques et équations différentielles”, *Invent. Math.* 148 (2002), no. 2, p. 219–284.
- [Ber03] L. BERGER – “Bloch and Kato’s exponential map: three explicit formulas”, *Doc. Math.* (2003), no. Extra Vol., p. 99–129 (electronic), Kazuya Kato’s fiftieth birthday.
- [Ber08] L. BERGER – “Construction de  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules: représentations  $p$ -adiques et  $B$ -paires”, *Algebra Number Theory* 2 (2008), no. 1, p. 91–120.
- [Ber16] L. BERGER – “Multivariable  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules and locally analytic vectors”, *Duke Math. J.* 165 (2016), no. 18, p. 3567–3595.
- [BK90] S. BLOCH & K. KATO – “ $L$ -functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives”, in *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I*, Progr. Math., vol. 86, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, p. 333–400.
- [CC98] F. CHERBONNIER & P. COLMEZ – “Représentations  $p$ -adiques sur-convergentes”, *Invent. Math.* 133 (1998), no. 3, p. 581–611.
- [CC99] F. CHERBONNIER & P. COLMEZ – “Théorie d’Iwasawa des représentations  $p$ -adiques d’un corps local”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 12 (1999), no. 1, p. 241–268.
- [Col79] R. F. COLEMAN – “Division values in local fields”, *Invent. Math.* 53 (1979), no. 2, p. 91–116.
- [Col02] P. COLMEZ – “Espaces de Banach de dimension finie”, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* 1 (2002), no. 3, p. 331–439.
- [Col16] P. COLMEZ – “Représentations localement analytiques de  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  et  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules”, *Represent. Theory* 20 (2016), p. 187–248.
- [Fon90] J.-M. FONTAINE – “Représentations  $p$ -adiques des corps locaux. I”, in *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II*, Progr. Math., vol. 87, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, p. 249–309.
- [Fon94] J.-M. FONTAINE – “Le corps des périodes  $p$ -adiques”, *Astérisque* (1994), no. 223, p. 59–111, With an appendix by Pierre Colmez, Périodes  $p$ -adiques (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988).
- [Fou05] L. FOURQUAUX – “Logarithme de Perrin-Riou pour des extensions associées à un groupe de Lubin-Tate”, Thèse, Université Paris 6, 2005.
- [Fou08] L. FOURQUAUX – “Logarithme de Perrin-Riou pour des extensions associées à un groupe de Lubin-Tate”, preprint, 2008.

- [FW79a] J.-M. FONTAINE & J.-P. WINTENBERGER – “Extensions algébriques et corps des normes des extensions APF des corps locaux”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* 288 (1979), no. 8, p. A441–A444.
- [FW79b] J.-M. FONTAINE & J.-P. WINTENBERGER – “Le “corps des normes” de certaines extensions algébriques de corps locaux”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* 288 (1979), no. 6, p. A367–A370.
- [FX13] L. FOURQUAUX & B. XIE – “Triangulable  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -analytic  $(\varphi_q, \Gamma)$ -modules of rank 2”, *Algebra Number Theory* 7 (2013), no. 10, p. 2545–2592.
- [Iwa86] K. IWASAWA – *Local class field theory*, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986, Oxford Mathematical Monographs.
- [Kat93] K. KATO – “Lectures on the approach to Iwasawa theory for Hasse-Weil  $L$ -functions via  $B_{dR}$ . I”, in *Arithmetic algebraic geometry (Trento, 1991)*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1553, Springer, Berlin, 1993, p. 50–163.
- [KR09] M. KISIN & W. REN – “Galois representations and Lubin-Tate groups”, *Doc. Math.* 14 (2009), p. 441–461.
- [Laz62] M. LAZARD – “Les zéros des fonctions analytiques d’une variable sur un corps valué complet”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* (1962), no. 14, p. 47–75.
- [LT65] J. LUBIN & J. TATE – “Formal complex multiplication in local fields”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 81 (1965), p. 380–387.
- [Mat95] S. MATSUDA – “Local indices of  $p$ -adic differential operators corresponding to Artin-Schreier-Witt coverings”, *Duke Math. J.* 77 (1995), no. 3, p. 607–625.
- [PR94] B. PERRIN-RIOU – “Théorie d’Iwasawa des représentations  $p$ -adiques sur un corps local”, *Invent. Math.* 115 (1994), no. 1, p. 81–161, With an appendix by Jean-Marc Fontaine.
- [Ser68] J.-P. SERRE – *Corps locaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1968, Deuxième édition, Publications de l’Université de Nancago, No. VIII.
- [Ser94] J.-P. SERRE – *Cohomologie galoisienne*, fifth éd., Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [SV17] P. SCHNEIDER & O. VENJAKOB – “Coates-Wiles homomorphisms and Iwasawa cohomology for Lubin-Tate extensions”, in *Elliptic Curves, Modular Forms and Iwasawa Theory. In Honour of John H. Coates’ 70th Birthday*, Springer Verlag, 2017.

- [Tam15] G. TAMME – “On an analytic version of Lazard’s isomorphism”, *Algebra Number Theory* 9 (2015), no. 4, p. 937–956.
- [Tsu04] T. TSUJI – “Explicit reciprocity law and formal moduli for Lubin-Tate formal groups”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 569 (2004), p. 103–173.
- [Win83] J.-P. WINTENBERGER – “Le corps des normes de certaines extensions infinies de corps locaux; applications”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 16 (1983), no. 1, p. 59–89.

Laurent Berger  
UMPA, ENS de Lyon  
UMR 5669 du CNRS  
Université de Lyon

Lionel Fourquaux  
IRMAR  
UMR 6625 du CNRS  
Université Rennes 1